

Life satisfaction and air quality in London

George MacKerron ^{*}, Susana Mourato

*Department of Geography & Environment
and The Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment,
The London School of Economics and Political Science,
Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, UK*

Abstract

A growing body of research in economics concerns self-reported happiness, or life satisfaction (LS), and its relationship to a wide range of other variables. The findings of this research tend to highlight the importance of non-income aspects of individuals' life conditions.

These findings are strongly complementary to themes within the sustainable development discourse. Firstly, they suggest ways in which we might consume less without compromising on our current levels of LS. And secondly, they help demonstrate the immediate LS benefits that could be gained from higher levels of environmental quality (EQ). However, the empirical evidence for the link between EQ and LS is, to date, somewhat weak, due in part to a lack of EQ data at a level of detail to match the individual-by-individual resolution of LS measures.

This small, exploratory study therefore seeks to assess how the use of EQ data at very high spatial resolution could advance the empirical literature examining connections between LS and EQ levels, focusing on air quality in particular. It collects original survey data for approximately 400 Londoners, and uses geographical information system (GIS) software to calculate pollutant concentrations in the immediate vicinity of their homes. It uses this data to estimate maximum likelihood regression models explaining LS ratings in terms of a range of individual, household and local variables.

Both perceived and measured air pollution levels are significantly negatively associated with the LS of the survey respondents, even when controlling for a wide range of other effects. An increase of $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in annual mean nitrogen dioxide concentration appears to correspond on average to a drop of nearly half a point of LS on an 11-point rating scale.

These findings cannot yet be generalised with confidence. However, if they were confirmed by larger future studies, they would appear to strengthen and extend existing arguments in favour of policies to reduce urban air pollution, framed both in terms of conventional economic efficiency analyses, and in wider political and ethical (and potentially legal) terms.

Key words:

Life satisfaction, subjective well-being, environmental quality, air pollution, Geographical Information Systems, London

1 Introduction

A growing body of research in economics is now concerned with happiness, not just as an approximate synonym for income or wealth, but as directly and subjectively expressed by individuals (e.g. Veenhoven, 1997; Frey and Stutzer, 2002; Helliwell, 2003; Blanchflower and Oswald, 2004; van Praag and Ferrer-i-Carbonell, 2004). The methods and findings of this work are a subject of increasing interest both within the discipline and in the public sphere.

Happiness research, in broad terms, argues that the apparently innocuous starting point for much of neo-classical economic theory—that, all other things being equal, more is always better—may be problematic. The issue is not so much the connection between *more* and *better*, if *all other things* genuinely could remain the same. It is that the policies that promote *more*—more production and more consumption, more goods and more services—inevitably have consequences for *all other things*. Moreover, the positive effect on our happiness of consuming goods and services, once we have enough to be comfortable, is often dwarfed by these other things' combined effects (e.g. Easterlin, 2003; Layard, 2003).

Happiness research highlights such 'other things'—influences on our happiness beyond absolute income—including: the incomes of others, because of rivalry, and our own lagged income, because of habituation (e.g. Layard, 2002), health, marriage, single-parenthood, trust, religious belief (e.g. Helliwell, 2003; Brereton et al., 2005), unemployment or the nature of employment (e.g. Layard, 2007), housing quality and tenure, local amenities (e.g. Brereton et al., 2007), commuting (Stutzer and Frey, 2003), TV viewing, social capital indicators and 'relational goods', such as membership of groups or clubs, and friendly relations with neighbours (e.g. Putnam, 1995; Bruni and Stanca, 2008), and environmental quality parameters including climate, noise, and air quality (e.g. Welsch, 2006; Rehdanz and Maddison, 2008).

The major implication of much happiness research—that, to improve our lot, quantitative expansion may be a lesser imperative than qualitative improvement—represents a close complement to the core themes of the sustainability discourse.

* Corresponding author.

Email addresses: g.j.mackerron@lse.ac.uk (George MacKerron),
s.mourato@lse.ac.uk (Susana Mourato).

Sustainable development requires us to consume less so as to remain within the ecological limits of the planet, and not compromise the well-being of future generations (e.g. Meadows et al., 2004; Porritt, 2005). Happiness research can help make this palatable, since it suggests a means by which we could consume less for the sake of our own immediate well-being. Similarly, strong sustainability obliges us to safeguard the quality of our environment, so as to remain on the right side of a critical level of natural capital. Happiness research, again, offers to make this pleasant as well as good for us, by presenting compelling evidence that environmental quality in the present makes us happy, while environmental degradation makes us sad.

Or rather, in the latter case, it presents only a relatively small and fragile body of evidence to that effect. A handful of quantitative, empirical studies characterising and quantifying the links between environmental quality (EQ) and subjective well-being have been published to date¹. These studies, on climate, noise and air pollution, all find significant positive relationships. Even these few studies are not always especially robust, however, in part because of the scarcity of EQ data at a spatial scale that approaches the person-by-person resolution of happiness measurements.

This exploratory study therefore seeks to assess how the use of EQ data at very high spatial resolution could advance the empirical literature examining connections between self-reported happiness or life satisfaction (LS) and EQ levels. It focuses on air quality in particular.

1.1 London

The study area, London, is interesting in respect of both air quality and LS. The city consistently fails to meet annual objectives for nitrogen dioxide and particulate concentrations (Greater London Authority, 2005), over half of Londoners think air quality is a major problem (Ipsos MORI, 2007) and up to two thirds believe that it affects their quality of life (Greater London Authority, 2002). In addition, recent data suggest that LS scores in London could be by some distance the UK's lowest (European Social Survey, 2006), and the city is distinctive from the rest of the UK in many of the demographic and socio-economic parameters known to affect LS: for example, it has the greatest number of households of unrelated adults and single people, and a disproportionate number of households in both the top and bottom quintiles of UK income after housing costs (Office for National Statistics, 2007).

¹ We do not consider here studies linking EQ with objective health measures, such as the pioneering work of RS Ulrich (e.g. 1984), which we consider to be a related but separate topic.

1.2 *Concept and terminology*

‘Happiness’, ‘well-being’, and ‘life satisfaction’ are all referred to above. Elsewhere in the literature are encountered ‘decision’ and ‘experienced’ utility, ‘quality of life’, ‘the good life’, ‘hedonism’ and ‘eudaimonia’². It is common in the literature—perhaps unfortunately—for these terms to be explicitly treated as interchangeable: see Easterlin (2003), Rehdanz and Maddison (2005) and Johns and Ormerod (2007) as examples. In this research, the term life satisfaction (LS) is used, both in the remainder of the text and in the question asked of survey respondents, although authors using different or mixed terminology are cited without further comment. Following Aslam and Corrado (2007), LS is here understood as associated with eudaimonia more than hedonism and with cognitive judgements more than affective states, and as concerned with the extent to which experiences match with expectations over the longer term.

2 **Literature review**

2.1 *Life satisfaction and environmental quality in theory...*

As discussed above, LS research places less faith in economic growth than more orthodox economic analyses do. It suggests that some degree of ‘down-shifting’ could enable many people to consume less while simultaneously increasing their LS, and thus provides a means by which (more) sustainable consumption might achieve some political acceptability. LS research also highlights further benefits to conserving and enhancing natural capital, since there are a number of pathways by which improving environmental quality (EQ)—raising levels of environmental goods and reducing levels of environmental ‘bads’—could in itself raise LS levels.

Linking LS and environmental goods, access to high quality natural environments may enhance LS through biophilia, an “innately emotional affiliation of human beings to other living organisms” (Wilson, 1993, 31), and by providing open spaces both for social interaction and for recreation and exercise, which help reduce anxiety and depression levels and improve self-esteem and health (Morris, 2003). Since health is invariably found to be a major determinant of LS levels, it seems safe to assume that improving health also realises significant LS gains. Linking LS and environmental bads, including air pollution, health may again be an important pathway. As noted by Welsch (2006), this pathway does not require that individuals are conscious of the cause-effect relationship between their own health or LS and

² ‘Eudaimonia’ may be defined as “living a complete human life, or the realization of valued human potentials” (Ryan et al., 2006).

the environmental problem. However, awareness of environmental bads such as air pollution, and of their negative impacts on humans and ecosystems, may act to reduce individuals' LS levels directly and independently of health effects. Ferrer-i-Carbonell and Gowdy (2007), for example, show significant associations between LS and levels of certain types of environmental concern.

In relation to air pollution, health effects and local awareness are both well documented. The health impacts of air pollution include raised levels of morbidity and mortality attributable to respiratory and cardiovascular complaints and lung cancer (Gouveia and Maisonet, 2005). Particularly susceptible groups include those with respiratory or cardiac diseases or asthma, infants, children and the elderly, and those with low socio-economic status, low levels of education, poor nutrition and poor general health (Utell et al., 2005). Individuals' perceptions of air pollution are positively related to objective pollution measures, as well as to broader evaluations of their local environment (Day, 2007). Furthermore, individuals are generally aware of the sources of pollution (principally, main roads), of its fluctuation according to weather conditions, and of its negative health effects, especially for children (Bickerstaff and Walker, 2001).

2.2 *...and in the empirical literature*

Despite these theoretical connections, empirical LS research has until recently paid relatively little attention to the importance of local environmental factors (SDRN, 2005). A rather small number of studies, summarised below, have attempted to verify and quantify the link between EQ and LS.

Van Praag and Baarsma (2005), investigating aircraft noise around Amsterdam Schiphol airport, find that perceived noise nuisance is negatively related to LS, but objective noise measures are not significant. Rehdanz and Maddison (2005), looking at climate parameters across 67 countries, find that higher mean LS is associated with higher mean temperature during cold months and lower mean temperature during hot months, while Brereton et al. (2007) find higher LS in Ireland to be related to lower wind speeds and higher temperature in summer and winter (these studies build on earlier work relating to climate and life satisfaction, such as Frijters and van Praag (1998)).

Welsch (2002; 2003; 2006; 2007), examining average LS in relation to average air pollution values across countries, finds significant negative associations in each case. Ferreira et al. (2006), working with individual-level data on air pollution and other EQ parameters in Ireland, also find negative associations between air pollution and LS. And Rehdanz and Maddison (2008) find that perceived levels of air pollution are also negatively related to LS scores in Germany.

All of these studies find a significant positive relationship between EQ and LS.

The studies also all use income data, entered as a regressor alongside the EQ variables, to calculate a financial trade-off between EQ and income. This represents a novel method for environmental valuation combining some of the strengths of both revealed and stated preference valuation techniques (Frey et al., 2004; van Praag and Baarsma, 2005; Ferreira et al., 2006), and considered in some depth by van Praag and Ferrer-i-Carbonell (2004). However, the wider methodological robustness of most of these studies is open to question.

Welsch's studies compare mean LS and mean air pollutant values at the whole-country level, thus making a strong assumption of cardinality. The 2002 and 2007 papers conduct a simple cross-sectional analysis of 54 countries. The advantage here is that unobserved heterogeneity across individuals, especially with respect to personality traits, may be expected to even out (Welsch, 2006). The disadvantages, however, are that LS and pollution levels are assessed only on the most grossly aggregated scale—with no indication of equity or variability or of individuals' real pollution exposures—and that unobserved heterogeneity between *countries* must be controlled for. Welsch attempts to control for heterogeneity between 54 countries using only per capita income, 'rationality' (the number of scientists and engineers per thousand people) and, in the 2002 paper only, an indicator of 'freedom' on a 1–7 scale. Rehdanz and Maddison's climate paper (2005) works on a similar cross-country basis, but with a much fuller set of control variables. Welsch's 2003 and 2006 papers use panel data for ten European countries to address unobserved inter-national heterogeneity, but the level of data aggregation remains problematic for both LS and EQ.

The remaining papers use individual-level LS data, controlling for an appropriately wide range of demographic, socio-economic and attitudinal variables in addition to indicators of EQ (of course, unobserved heterogeneity will always be an issue to some degree in LS studies, because LS is presumably affected to some degree by almost everything a person is, has, knows, does, and experiences). With the exception of van Praag and Baarsma (2005), who obtain noise data at postcode level, and Rehdanz and Maddison (2008), who have only perception data, the major limitation of these studies remains the level of spatial aggregation of EQ measures. The Brereton et al. papers (2006 and 2007) use data disaggregated at regional or local authority level. Ferreira et al. (2006), meanwhile, disaggregate location-specific measures by respondents' electoral districts (area: 18 to 6,189 hectares), except in the case of air pollution, which is calculated by proximity to one or more of only 10 monitoring stations for the whole of Ireland.

3 Methods

Since no data set including both LS and air quality measures was available for London, original data was obtained by survey. GIS software (GRASS Development

Team, 2007) was used to augment this with appropriate local EQ metrics from several spatial data sets, and parameters for a number of regression models were estimated from the combined data. Since the LS scale cannot be assumed to be cardinal, the ordered probit model was used, which is the usual model for analyses of this type (van Praag and Baarsma, 2005). Standard errors were adjusted for heteroscedasticity and for clustering at postcode level. The results were compared with those given by ordered logit and Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) models.

3.1 Survey

A survey was developed as a standards-compliant, accessible website, using open-source software tools (see <http://www.londonsurvey.org.uk/>). The design complied with Dillman's 11 web survey principles (1998), except that users were presumed to be now familiar with the use of web form controls and scroll-bars, which were therefore not explained. The survey was tested using a speak-aloud protocol, and early responses were monitored as an informal pilot phase.

The web survey mode has several advantages: for example, it does not suffer from interviewer bias, and respondents may feel more comfortable answering sensitive questions and moving through a survey at their own pace (Bateman et al., 2002). It also has disadvantages: for example, unlike with face-to-face or telephone surveys, it is impossible to offer clarification of questions, and some respondents may not fully understand what is being asked.

Wherever possible, survey items were sourced from existing large-scale surveys—to take advantage of their extensive piloting and field-testing, association with existing data sets and, in some cases, potential familiarity to respondents—and adapted to the web survey format where necessary. The LS item was adopted unchanged from the ESS 2 (2006), question B24. It has strong similarities with Cantril's early 'self-anchoring striving scale' (1965), and is listed as item *O-SLW/c/sq/n/11/cd* in the World Database of Happiness (Veenhoven, nd):

All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole nowadays?
0 (extremely dissatisfied) / 1 / 2 / 3 / 4 / 5 / 6 / 7 / 8 / 9 / 10 (extremely satisfied)

This item was chosen because it is clear and concise, its 11-point scale permits a relatively precise response (see Johns and Ormerod (2007) for discussion of the limitations of shorter scales) and to enable direct comparison with the ESS results which, as discussed in the introduction, are different for London than for the rest of the UK.

The LS item was placed first, since such items are particularly vulnerable to context effects (e.g. Smith, 1979). For the same reason, the initial explanation of the purpose of the survey was kept reasonably general and did not allude to air quality or

other environmental issues. The survey opened just as reports became public of an attempted bombing in London (BBC News, 2007). Since it is possible that this would have affected LS ratings—Frey et al. (2004) confirm the intuition that the threat of terrorism is associated with lowered LS—length of time since the attempted attack was included in regression models where applicable.

The sample was a simple convenience sample. While this sampling method raises issues of selection and non-response bias, and precludes any definitive analysis of the effects of air quality on LS for London as a whole, it provides adequate and appropriate data for our exploration of the use of high resolution spatial data in the context of LS research.

3.2 *Spatial data*

The location of each respondent's postcode was obtained using Ordnance Survey (OS) Code-Point, which provides coordinates of a location within the building of the nearest delivery point to the calculated mean position of all delivery points for a postcode, to resolution of 1m (Ordnance Survey, 2006). In some cases these coordinates are approximate: in the present case, four postcode coordinates were listed only as within 50m of the true location and two as within 100m.

Air quality data were generously provided by Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants Ltd. (CERC). The data set comprises annual average concentrations, modelled for the years 2001 and 2010 (forecast), of nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) and particles smaller than 10 microns diameter (PM10). It covers an area of 3,260km², including the whole of the M25 motorway, in 50m × 50m grid squares (cells), and was produced using CERC's Air Dispersion Modelling System, ADMS-Urban. Blair et al. (2003) and Mattai (2006) provide further details on the modelling methodology and inputs.

Since this survey research was carried out in mid-2007, average concentrations for the twelve months up to the middle of that year were estimated by linear interpolation. An indicator of the annual trend in air pollution was also calculated. These methods assume a smooth and continuous change in pollutant concentrations across the whole of London; since this assumption is open to doubt, sensitivity analysis of the regression models was carried out using the figures for 2001 and 2010.

Pollution levels around each respondent's home were calculated as the mean pollutant concentrations in the 5 × 5 cells centred on the cell containing the respondent's Code-Point. Of course, annual average concentrations in the vicinity of respondents' homes are only one of many indicators of exposure to air pollution. Others include: exposure away from home—such as when commuting and in the workplace—and from indoor sources; height of the home above ground level; and the extent of variability within the annual average. Furthermore, pollution levels during the particular

hour, day, week or month the survey was administered might all affect individuals' perceptions of exposure, and their evaluations of LS. Refinements to account for these factors could usefully be incorporated in future research.

The measures calculated here are nevertheless believed to be at a finer resolution, and thus to capture more of the variation between individuals' local environments, than those used in any previous LS research. As discussed above, even Ferreira et al., who estimate similar models of LS making use of local EQ data "at a very high level of disaggregation" (2006, 11), calculate air pollution data only by simple proximity to ten PM10 monitoring stations for the whole of Ireland.

Since traffic is a major source of urban air pollution, but has potentially confounding additional effects, including noise pollution, the OS Meridian 2 data set was used to calculate each respondent's proximity to their nearest major road (A-road or motorway). Meridian 2 data is supplied to a resolution of 1m, though the level of accuracy is not specified and may vary according to the source of data on each feature type (Ordnance Survey, 2007).

4 Results and analysis

Respondents appeared well distributed across the sample area, as illustrated in Figure 1. Key socio-demographic parameters of the sample are shown in Table 1. The sample appears biased towards the young, the relatively highly educated, and the wealthy. Comparable gross household income bracket data could not be located, but while average gross household income for London during 2003–2006 was £766 per week (Office for National Statistics, 2007), the same statistic for this sample—which, given the large number of young people, might be expected to be lower—is conservatively estimated as £1,306³. Since only 16% of the sample have children, relative disposable incomes may be higher still.

4.1 Air quality

Histograms of the air pollutant measures for all respondents are shown in Figure 2. The WHO guideline maxima for average annual pollutant concentrations are 40 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO₂, which is exceeded for 69% of respondents, and 20 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM10, which exceeded for every respondent except one. The NO₂ and PM10 concentrations are strongly related, having a Pearson correlation coefficient of 0.92. This is as expected, since road traffic is the principal source of both PM10 and

³ Average gross household income was calculated as the mean of respondents' mid-points of the ten original income brackets. For the unbounded top bracket (£100,000+) a fairly conservative mid-point of £110,000 was assumed.

nitrogen oxides in London (Greater London Authority, 2005), and nitrogen oxides can also contribute to PM10 formation (Meng et al., 1997).

Perceptions of air pollution were distributed across the response scale: approximately one in ten respondents felt that air pollution was no problem at all in their street or block, with the same proportion describing it as a major problem, and 20–30% responding within each of the three intermediate categories. Overall, 31% of respondents felt that air pollution negatively affected their LS. This figure rose from 7% amongst those who said air pollution was no problem at all to 65% amongst those who said it was a major problem.

Table 3 shows the estimated parameters of a model predicting perceived pollution levels on the basis of measured levels and other potentially relevant factors. Since the pollution measures are strongly correlated, entering them together in a model would give rise to problems of colinearity. Regression models were therefore run using each measure separately, alongside the other regressors. For every sampling method, the two measures produced qualitatively similar results, but the NO₂ measure resulted in a better fitting model than the PM10, as judged by McFadden's pseudo-R². Log and quadratic pollution terms were also tried, but did not produce better fitting models. The NO₂ measure is therefore used as the principal indicator of pollution concentrations. Note that this is only an indicator: it should not be inferred that NO₂ is alone responsible for the observed effects.

Only respondents who had lived at least a year in their current accommodation were included in this regression. Results with the full sample (not shown) were similar, but with a lower pseudo-R² value. Models including pollutant concentrations for 2001 and 2010 were also run (not shown) to check the validity of the interpolated data; results remained qualitatively the same.

As seen in the table, a significant positive relationship was found between perceived pollution and measured NO₂ concentrations, consistent with previous research emphasising the importance of “direct, personal experience” of pollution in shaping perceptions (Bickerstaff and Walker, 2001, 136).

Having asthma or heart or lung disease, and being older, help determine sensitivity to the health effects of air pollution (World Health Organisation, 2005). Being aged over 55 was not significant, which may well be an artifact of the lack of older respondents in the data set, but asthma and cardiovascular disease were strongly so: marginal effects analysis indicated that respondents with asthma showed shifts of 6–10% away from the lower two categories and towards the higher two, and those suffering cardiovascular disease showed similar, larger shifts, of 7–18%. Spending time outdoors was included as an indicator of increased ambient pollution exposure, although it is not guaranteed that this time is spent near the home, and it did not prove significant.

Having children was included since respondents' concern over health effects on the

family could increase perceptions of a problem, as suggested by Bickerstaff and Walker (2001) and in comments by the survey respondents, but was not significant. Income and educational indicators were included because previous work indicates an inverse relationship between socio-economic status and concern for local air quality, independent of measured pollution (ibid.). Neither was a significant predictor of perceptions here, however, and this may partly relate to relatively high education and income levels across the sample.

Distance from central London was included because of broad perceptions of city centres as dirty and unhealthy places (Bickerstaff and Walker, 2001; Day, 2007). However, being further out was in fact associated with slightly greater probabilities of answering in the higher problem categories. It may be that respondents allowed for their proximity to the centre in their responses, making their responses at least partly relative to expectations (“given that I live right in the centre, pollution isn’t *all* that big a problem” or “I shouldn’t have to deal with air this dirty out in the suburbs”). This would benefit from further investigation.

In principle, the sensitivity and exposure variables could also have been included in the regression as interaction effects with pollution concentrations: for example, one might expect asthmatics to rate a given level of pollution as more problematic by an amount related to that level. However, such interaction variables were so highly correlated with the basic dummy variables—with coefficients greater than 0.99 in every case—that they could not be entered into the model together. It was therefore decided to retain the basic variables rather than the interaction terms, because their marginal effects have a more straightforward interpretation.

4.2 *Life satisfaction*

The pattern of LS ratings is shown in Figure 3. For comparison, patterns for the ESS 2 LS data are shown in Figure 4, and mean LS ratings for the data sets (which, although not strictly appropriate to an ordinal variable, give a broad indication of similarity) are given in Table 4. All the data sets show a characteristic peak at the higher end of the LS ratings, having 8 as the first and 7 as the second most common. This peak is somewhat more pronounced for this survey than for the comparison data, however. Since the corresponding reduction in the frequency of other ratings is more pronounced at the lower than the higher end of the scale, the survey respondents show a slightly higher mean LS than in the comparison data for London. This difference may be explained by the nature of the biases in the sample. However, it may also be produced partly by context effects in the comparator survey, in which the LS item comes quite late and directly follows 23 items on politics and government.

Two ordered probit models of LS were estimated, as shown in Table 5. Each includes

various non-EQ regressors: demographic and socio-economic variables, and indicators of household tenure, beliefs and perceptions, social activity and social relations. To these, Model 1 adds perceived levels of local air quality and noise, while Model 2 instead adds objective measures of these EQ parameters. For comparison, Model 2 is also estimated using OLS. As in other work (e.g. Ferreira et al., 2006), OLS here gives extremely similar results to the ordered probit. The coefficients on the OLS model are therefore used to assess the magnitude of the regressors' effects, since this is more straightforward than marginal effects over a large number of categories.

The goodness of fit of the models is in the range of existing EQ-and-LS research. For example, Ferreira et al. (2006) obtain an OLS adjusted- R^2 of 25% (with 35 regressors), while Brereton et al. (2007) obtain ordered probit pseudo- R^2 values in the range 9–16% and OLS adjusted- R^2 values in the range 21–33% (with up to 67 regressors).

It was not possible to include all variables that ideally would have been entered in the models. Unemployment, which is usually highly significant in LS models, could not be used since very few respondents were unemployed. Other employment, marital status and educational status variables, and squared terms in income and age had to be dropped for similar reasons or because of colinearity. None of these variables, when they were included alone, had a statistically significant effect. The variables intended to allow for potential confounding effects of terrorism, weather conditions, and the order of presentation of rating scales were not significant in any model.

All models Statistically significant impacts on LS common to all models include age (negative at the 10% level) poor health (highly negative), being married (positive), and logged estimated personal income (positive at the 10% level). These findings are intuitive and in line with previous LS research⁴. The lack of significance of gender and education are fairly standard findings (see e.g. Veenhoven, 1997). The lack of significance of divorce and separation is reasonably unusual, however (e.g. Frey et al., 2004; Brereton et al., 2007).

Crowding is found to exert a significantly negative effect on LS, whilst the tenure variables—home ownership and social housing tenant status—are not significant. Trust and social capital (or 'relational good') coefficients are all positive and highly significant, as found by Bruni and Stanca (2008) and predicted by theorists such as Putnam (1995). The religious belief indicator has no significant impact in the sample, however, and having children or being a single parent do not either.

⁴ Age is commonly found to have a U-shaped relation to LS, with those in middle age having lower LS than the young and old (e.g. Blanchflower and Oswald, 2004). The finding here is probably in line with this, since the lack of respondents over retirement age would be expected to turn that U-shape into a downward slope.

Distance from central London is introduced as an important control in relation to pollution—which is much higher in the centre—is significantly negatively associated with LS. The reasons for this are not certain but it seems possible that, on average, those living nearer the centre: are wealthier (for homeowners in particular, living near the centre could indicate substantial asset wealth which is not picked up elsewhere in the survey); spend less time commuting, an activity that systematically lowers subjective well-being (Stutzer and Frey, 2003); and enjoy a wider variety of cultural opportunities and public facilities on their doorstep, a factor mentioned by some respondents in the survey comments.

Model 1 Model 1 shows that perceived level of local air pollution is a significant depressor of LS, as also found by Rehdanz and Maddison (2008) in Germany. Of course, identifying causation is a particular hazard with such perception variables—perhaps it is simply that happier people perceive problems as less serious—so it is helpful to be able to compare this with the measurements in Model 2.

Model 2 and OLS As was the case for perceptions of pollution in the model in section 4.1, NO₂ concentration is significant, with a negative impact on LS ratings of nearly half a point per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ in the OLS model. The yearly trend variable has no significant effect. The year-on-year changes in the pollution data are fairly modest, averaging $-0.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ between 2001 and 2010. However, the combination of the significance of the absolute pollutant concentration and the non-significance of the change in concentration—the same pattern seen with perceptions of pollution—could be taken to indicate that air pollution levels are not readily habituated to⁵. PM10 levels, when entered instead of NO₂ levels, were not significant (not shown). The results of the model were robust to the use of data for 2001 or 2010 in place of the interpolated 2007 figures, however.

Unfortunately, there are few similar studies to place our air quality results in context. To the best of our knowledge, only Welsch (2003) has NO₂ concentration data regressed in a linear specification on a subjective well-being variable (in this case, a four-point international 'happiness' scale). Like this study, Welsch's finds a significant negative association between NO₂ levels and subjective well-being: a drop of 0.04 points, on this shorter scale, per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. This appears to be a quantitatively smaller effect than found here, although the studies are of very different design. The same paper also regresses PM10 on subjective well-being and, like this study, finds no significant association. The only other paper we are aware of that uses PM10 data finds a significant and negative relationship between PM10 concentration and

⁵ By contrast, if pollution was easily habituated to, then one might expect the absolute level of pollution to be insignificant (because individuals are broadly used to it) and the change in pollution level to be significant (since it is an indicator of the component of pollution that individuals have not yet had the time to become used to).

life satisfaction, amounting to -0.3 points, on a 7-point LS scale, per $10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ (Ferreira et al., 2006).

Valuation If the log of NO_2 concentration is entered in the OLS model instead of the untransformed variable (not shown), it becomes possible to value marginal changes in NO_2 concentration by calculating an elasticity as the ratio of the coefficients on log income and log NO_2 (Welsch, 2006). The coefficients here on NO_2 and income are respectively -1.933 and 0.365 (both significant at the 5% level), giving an elasticity of 5.3%. In other words, a 1% increase in NO_2 levels is equivalent, in LS terms, to a 5.3% drop in income. Notwithstanding that the NO_2 variable here may indicate more general pollution levels, this figure is unrealistically high, both intuitively and in comparison with results from revealed and stated preference studies.

Although this result may be due in part to the high incomes of the survey sample, surprisingly high values seem to be a fairly common finding in LS research to date. For example, Frey et al. (2007) use LS data from 1975–1998 to calculate that an average Northern Ireland resident would be willing to pay 41% of her income to reduce terrorist activity to the level seen in the Republic of Ireland, while Frey and Stutzer (2005) find an average Paris resident hypothetically willing to pay 14% of his income to reduce terrorism to the level seen elsewhere in the country.

5 Discussion and conclusions

The principal finding of this study is that LS in our sample is significantly negatively associated both with subjectively perceived levels of air pollution and with air pollutant measurements at a very high spatial resolution. Since respondents' perceptions of air pollution were themselves significantly related to the objective measurements, the relative importance of health and perceptions as pathways or mediating factors for pollution's influence on LS are not separately assessed, and the objective measurements are presumed relevant to both pathways.

The negative influences of measured NO_2 and perceived air pollution on LS are both significant at the standard 5% level⁶. Contrary to the findings of some of the research using more aggregated measures (e.g. Ferreira et al., 2006), PM_{10} concentrations are not statistically significant in any LS model, despite their strong correlation with NO_2 . However, since there are aspects of this study that might be expected to weaken any association between LS and pollution, the association of LS and NO_2 seems fairly compelling. Firstly, pollution levels, though varied, were

⁶ More precisely, $p < 2.5\%$ for NO_2 concentration in Model 3 and $p < 3.4\%$ for perceptions in Model 2.

high for everyone (WHO guidelines for NO₂ were exceeded for over two-thirds of respondents, and PM10 guidelines for every respondent bar one). This lack of any relatively unpolluted comparator could make the influence of pollution more difficult to detect. Secondly, given such high levels of air pollution, and a city with an annual population turnover of approximately 3% (Office for National Statistics, 2007), there could be substantial self-selection in London for those who are relatively indifferent to EQ. And finally, the biased nature of the survey sample may have weakened the association between air pollution and LS—this is considered further below.

The size of the effect of NO₂ concentrations on LS appears large: the OLS model suggests an average reduction of almost half a point of LS (around 4% of the whole LS scale) per 10 µg/m³ of NO₂, within a range of just over 30 (between 28 and 62 µg/m³). However, as noted in section 4.1, it should not be presumed that NO₂ alone is responsible for this effect. PM10 levels, being closely correlated, are presumably contributing too and, as always in LS research, the possibility of endogeneity cannot be ruled out⁷.

The wider significance of these findings depends on their validity both internally—their ability to be generalised from the sample to the London population—and externally—their ability to be generalised from the London population to the rest of the UK and beyond. In respect of the former, the sample is not demographically representative of the London population. However, its biases are such that one might reasonably expect the effects of pollution to be under- rather than over-estimated. Recall that, on average, Londoners are substantially poorer and less highly educated than the survey respondents. In terms of health,

“Population groups with lower socioeconomic status have shown increased risk of mortality and morbidity following exposure [to air pollution]. Higher susceptibility is also found in the least educated sections of the population... In addition, people with lower socioeconomic status have more risk factors for the health effects of air pollution, for example airways diseases, active and passive smoking and type 2 diabetes” (Utell et al., 2005, 111).

In terms of perceptions, too, previous studies have shown an inverse relationship between socio-economic status and concern for air quality, with “stronger worries more widespread among people in the ‘low class’ area” (Bickerstaff and Walker, 2001, 139). These reasons to expect the effects of air pollution to be worse than seen here appear to be confirmed in the discrepancy between the 66% of Londoners who say that air quality affects their quality of life in the Annual London Survey, and the 31% here who agree that air pollution reduces their LS⁸. In summary, then,

⁷ Endogeneity would imply that the size of the effect of air pollution as estimated here is too high because of correlations with missing variables: candidate correlates here could include, for example, housing quality or proximity to industry.

⁸ Of course, it is not clear quite how far the term ‘quality of life’ is comparable to LS, particularly given the stark numerical expression of the latter in this survey.

the LS effects of air pollution shown in this study could plausibly represent a lower bound on the effects that would be seen for London as a whole. On the other hand, self-selection bias—for example, if potential respondents who are more sensitive to pollution were more likely to feel motivated to participate—suggests a healthy measure of caution here, and further research on this topic would be most valuable. On this basis, it is perhaps too early to consider the external validity of our results.

5.1 Implications for policy

Arguments at a number of levels in favour of measures to reduce London's air pollution are, of course, already known to policy-makers. They include the requirements of EU and domestic law, the prospect of improved public health (and reduced health spending), and the potential co-benefits in relation to anthropogenic climate change (since emissions of the pollutants considered here, for example in vehicle exhaust fumes, are generally accompanied by emissions of CO₂).

If the findings of this study were confirmed by robust and large-scale empirical work, they would significantly strengthen and extend those arguments. In the first place, they would affect conventional market analyses. The impact of air pollution on LS suggests a previously largely unquantified means, perhaps partially additional to health, by which a reduction in pollution could deliver greater social efficiency. Furthermore, habituation considerations suggest that standard economic valuation techniques might under-estimate the level of air pollution reduction that would be socially most efficient⁹. If air pollution, which has been gradually decreasing across most of London over the last six years, still has a significant effect on LS, it would seem that people do not fully habituate to it. It would therefore seem likely that air quality is something in which people tend to under-invest (by their actions in the housing market, for example).

LS research is silent, of course, on the framework of ethics or rules within which decisions are made. Allowing for these, findings like ours would have additional policy implications. Human rights are one part of such a framework. If air pollution measurably reduces individuals' LS, it could be argued that they have a basic right to be free of such an influence, and developing case law under the European Convention on Human Rights increasingly gives legal force to such an argument ([European Court of Human Rights, 2003, 22](#)). Equity is another part of the ethical framework and, as explored in the growing literature on environmental justice, this raises issues of both the distribution and production of pollution. Regarding distribution, the

⁹ LS research suggests that we almost completely habituate to having more material possessions, so that their long-term benefits are small, whilst we do not habituate to the same extent to other positive aspects of our lives, such as human relationships. It also suggests that, because we fail to anticipate our habituation to material possessions, we over-invest in these and under-invest in other things, relative to the balance that would make us happiest.

most polluted communities in Britain tend also to be the poorest (Mitchell and Dorling, 2003, 909), and thus suffer a double burden of reduced LS. And regarding production, “communities that have access to fewest cars tend to suffer from the highest levels of air pollution, whereas those in which car ownership is greatest enjoy the cleanest air” (ibid.). It would seem possible, therefore, that those who reap the LS benefits of polluting activities impose LS costs on those who are both least able to enjoy those same benefits and least able to avoid those costs¹⁰.

This study suggests that the use of EQ data at very high spatial resolution could have significant potential to improve understanding of connections between LS and EQ levels. In a small sample of Londoners, higher air pollution levels were associated with lower levels of LS after controlling for many other factors. Larger-scale research to clarify the potentially complex links between life satisfaction and sustainability in relation both to individuals’ exposure to and production of urban air pollution could offer further insights for achieving simultaneously happier, cleaner and more sustainable cities.

Acknowledgements

We extend our grateful thanks for the insightful comments provided by two anonymous reviewers. Many thanks are due also to: Dr Iarla Kilbane-Dawe, of Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants Ltd. (CERC); Rob Sheldon, Julian Hollo-Tas, Hazel Douglas and colleagues, at Accent Marketing & Research; Alex Wilson; Ralf Martin; Professor Helen ApSimon; and the Economic and Social Research Council, for George MacKerron’s research funding.

References

- Alesina, A., Di Tella, R., MacCulloch, R., 2004. Inequality and happiness: Are Europeans and Americans different? *Journal of Public Economics* 88 (9-10), 2009–2042.
- Annas, J., 2004. Happiness as achievement. *Daedalus* 133 (2), 44–51.
- Aslam, A., Corrado, L., April 2007. No man is an island: the inter-personal determinants of regional well-being in Europe. Cambridge Working Papers in Economics, Faculty of Economics, University of Cambridge.
- Bateman, I., Carson, R., Day, B., Hanemann, M., Hanley, N., Hett, T., Jones-Lee, M., Loomes, G., Mourato, S., Ozdemiroglu, E., Pearce, D., Sugden, R., Swanson, J.,

¹⁰ These points could raise a complication: do individuals gain from their own polluting activity? Of the activities that generate air pollution in London, driving is by far the most important, and there is recent evidence that “the autonomy and empowerment drivers feel can benefit health and wellbeing” (Gardner and Abraham, 2007, 197).

2002. *Economic Valuation with Stated Preference Techniques: A Manual*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham.
- BBC News, 2007. Police avert car bomb 'carnage'. BBC News Online, 29 June 2007.
- Bickerstaff, K., Walker, G., Jul. 2001. Public understandings of air pollution: the 'localisation' of environmental risk. *Global Environmental Change* 11, 133–145.
- Blair, J., Johnson, K., Carruthers, D., October 2003. *Modelling Air Quality for London using ADMS-Urban*. Cambridge Environmental Research Consultants Ltd.
- Blanchflower, D. G., Oswald, A. J., 2004. Well-being over time in Britain and the USA. *Journal of Public Economics* 88 (7-8), 1359–1386.
- Brereton, F., Clinch, J. P., & Ferreira, S., 2005. Quality of life and location-specific amenities: a subjective well-being approach. *Planning and Environmental Policy Research Series Working Paper*, School of Geography, University College Dublin.
- Brereton, F., Clinch, J. P., Ferreira, S., 2006. Environmental amenities and subjective well-being: testing the validity of hedonic pricing. *Planning and Environmental Policy Research Series Working Paper*, School of Geography, University College Dublin.
- Brereton, F., Clinch, J. P., Ferreira, S., 2007. Happiness, geography and the environment. *Ecological Economics* In press.
- Bruni, L., Stanca, L., 2008. Watching alone: Relational goods, television and happiness. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization* 65 (3–4), 506–528.
- Cantril, H., 1965. *The Pattern of Human Concerns*. Rutgers University Press, New Brunswick, New Jersey.
- Day, R., Mar. 2007. Place and the experience of air quality. *Health & Place* 13, 249–260.
- Dillman, D. A., Tortora, R. D., Bowker, D., 1998. *Principles for Constructing Web Surveys*. SESRC Technical Report 98-50, Pullman, Washington.
- Easterlin, R. A., 2003. *Buiding a better theory of well-being*. Institute for the Study of Labour (IZA), Bonn.
- European Court of Human Rights, July 2003. *Case of Hatton and others v. the United Kingdom: Judgment*. Council of Europe, Strasbourg.
- European Social Survey, 2006. *European Social Survey Final Source Questionnaire (Round 3, 2006/7), Amendment 03*.
- Ferreira, S., Moro, M., Clinch, J. P., 2006. Valuing the environment using the life-satisfaction approach. *Planning and Environmental Policy Research Series Working Paper*, School of Geography, University College Dublin.
- Ferrer-i-Carbonell, A., Gowdy, J. M. (2007). Environmental degradation and happiness. *Ecological Economics* 60, 509–516.
- Frey, B., Luechinger, S., Stutzer, A., 2007. Calculating tragedy: assessing the costs of terrorism. *Journal of Economic Surveys* 21 (1), 1–24.
- Frey, B., Stutzer, A., 2005. Happiness research: state and prospects. *Review of Social Economy* 62 (2), 207–228.
- Frey, B. S., Luechinger, S., Stutzer, A., 2004. *Valuing Public Goods: The Life Satisfaction Approach*. Institute for Empirical Research in Economics, University

- of Zurich.
- Frey, B. S., Stutzer, A., 2002. *Happiness and Economics: How the Economy and Institutions Affect Human Well-Being*. Princeton University Press.
- Frijters, P., van Praag, B. M. S., 1997. The effects of climate on welfare and well-being in Russia. *Climatic Change* 39, 61–81.
- Gardner, B., Abraham, C., 2007. What drives car use? A grounded theory analysis of commuters' reasons for driving. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour* 10 (3), 187–200.
- Gibbons, S., 2004. The costs of urban property crime. *The Economic Journal* 114 (499), F441–F441.
- Gouveia, N. C., Maisonet, M., 2005. Health effects of air pollution: an overview. In: *Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005*. World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, Denmark, pp. 87–109.
- GRASS Development Team, 2007. *Geographic Resources Analysis Support System (GRASS GIS) Software*. ITC-irst, Trento, Italy.
- Greater London Authority, 2002. *The Mayor's Air Quality Strategy*. London.
- Greater London Authority, 2005. *The Mayor's Air Quality Strategy: Progress Report 2005*. London.
- Helliwell, J. F., 2003. How's life? Combining individual and national variables to explain subjective well-being. *Economic Modelling* 20 (2), 331–60.
- Ipsos MORI, 2007. *Annual London Survey 2006: 'top line' results*. London.
- Johns, H., Ormerod, P., 2007. *Happiness, Economics and Public Policy*. Institute of Economic Affairs, London.
- Joung, I. M. A., Stronks, K., van de Mheen, H., van Poppel, F. W. A., van der Meer, J. B. W., Mackenbach, J. P., 1997. The contribution of intermediary factors to marital status differences in self-reported health. *Journal of Marriage and the Family* 59 (2), 476–490.
- Layard, R., 2002. *Rethinking public economics: the implications of rivalry and habit*. Manuscript.
- Layard, R., 2003. *Happiness: Has social science a clue? Lionel Robbins Memorial Lecture Series*, London School of Economics, 3–5 March 2003.
- Layard, R., 5 July 2007. *Can work make us healthier and happier? Will Hutton in conversation with Professor Sir Michael Marmot and Professor Lord Richard Layard*. Work Foundation, Good Work Seminar, 5 July 2007.
- Mattai, J., 2006. *London Atmospheric Emissions Inventory 2003: Emissions Estimation Methodology Manual*. Greater London Authority.
- Meadows, D., Randers, J., Meadows, D., 2004. *Limits to Growth: the 30-year update*. Earthscan, London.
- Meng, Z., Dabdub, D., Seinfeld, J. H., Jul. 1997. Chemical coupling between atmospheric ozone and particulate matter. *Science* 277, 116–119.
- Mitchell, G., Dorling, D., 2003. An environmental justice analysis of British air quality. *Environment and Planning A* 35 (5), 909–929.
- Morris, N., 2003. *Health, well being and open space: literature review*. Edinburgh, OPENspace.
- Office for National Statistics, 2007. *Focus on London 2007. Report*.

- Ordnance Survey, May 2006. Code-Point: User Guide and Technical Specification, version 2.1. Southampton.
- Ordnance Survey, February 2007. Meridian 2: User Guide and Technical Specification, version 5.2. Southampton.
- Porritt, J., 2005. Capitalism as if the world matters. Earthscan, London.
- Putnam, R. D., 1995. Bowling alone: America's declining social capital. *Journal of Democracy* 6 (1), 65–78.
- Rehdanz, K., Maddison, D., 2005. Climate and happiness. *Ecological Economics* 52 (1), 25–111.
- Rehdanz, K., Maddison, D., 2008. Local environmental quality and life-satisfaction in Germany. *Ecological Economics* 64, 787–797.
- Ryan, R. M., Huta, V., Deci, E. L., 2006. Living well: a self-determination theory perspective on eudaimonia. *Journal of Happiness Studies* In press.
- SDRN, 2005. Wellbeing concepts and challenges: SDRN briefing 3. Sustainable Development Research Network.
- Smith, T. W., Mar. 1979. Happiness: Time trends, seasonal variations, intersurvey differences, and other mysteries. *Social Psychology Quarterly* 42, 18–30.
- Stutzer, A., Frey, B. S., 2003. Stress that Doesn't Pay: The Commuting Paradox. Institute for Empirical Research in Economics, University of Zurich.
- UK Air Quality Archive, nd. Air quality standards. Web site prepared by AEA Energy and Environment for Defra.
URL <http://www.airquality.co.uk/archive/standards.php>
- Ulrich, R. S., 1984. View through a window may influence recovery from surgery. *Science* 224 (4647), 420–421.
- Utell, M. J., Mehta, S., Frampton, M. W., 2005. Determinants of susceptibility. In: *Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005*. World Health Organization, Regional Office for Europe, Denmark, pp. 111–133.
- van Praag, B. M. S., Baarsma, B. E., 2005. Using happiness surveys to value intangibles: The case of airport noise. *The Economic Journal* 115 (500), 224–246.
- van Praag, B. M. S., Ferrer-i-Carbonell, A., 2004. *Happiness Quantified: A Satisfaction Calculus Approach*. Oxford University Press.
- Veenhoven, R., 1997. Advances in understanding happiness. *Revue Quebecoise de Psychologie* 18 (1), 29–74.
- Veenhoven, R., nd. World Database of Happiness. Erasmus University, Rotterdam.
URL <http://worlddatabaseofhappiness.eur.nl>
- Welsch, H., 2002. Preferences over prosperity and pollution: Environmental valuation based on happiness surveys. *Kyklos* 55 (4), 473–494.
- Welsch, H., July 2003. Environment and happiness: Valuation of air pollution in ten European countries. German Institute for Economic Research.
- Welsch, H., 2006. Environment and happiness: Valuation of air pollution using life satisfaction data. *Ecological Economics* 58, 801–813.
- Welsch, H., 2007. Environmental welfare analysis: A life satisfaction approach. *Ecological Economics* 62 (3-4), 544–551.
- Wilson, E. O., 1993. Biophilia and the conservation ethic. In: Kellert, S. R., Wilson, E. O. (Eds.), *The Biophilia Hypothesis*. Island Press, Washington, DC, pp. 31–41.

World Health Organisation, 2005. Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005.

Table 1
Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents, with comparator

	<i>This survey</i>	<i>Office for National Statistics, 2007</i>
<i>Area</i>	020 dialling code	Greater London
<i>Date</i>	2007	2005/2006
<i>Ages</i>	16+	16+‡
<i>Age</i>	<i>N</i> = 387†	
16–24	7.2%	12.3%
25–44	83.9%	36.6%
45–64	8.3%	20.0%
65+	0.5%	11.8%
<i>Qualifications</i>	<i>N</i> = 388	
None	1.3%	13.0%
Below degree level	11.3%	59.0%
Degree level+	87.4%	28.0%
<i>Gross household income</i>	<i>N</i> = 363	
0–£9,999	1.9%	
£10,000–£19,999	5.2%	
£20,000–£39,999	15.7%	–
£40,000–£59,999	19.8%	
£60,000–£99,999	33.9%	
£100,000+	23.4%	

† The full sample size was 413. Since all demographic items were optional, *N* gives the number of responses per item.

‡ The ONS qualifications data is for males aged up to 65 and females aged up to 59 only.

Table 2: Summary of variables (alphabetical order)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Definition</i>	<i>Mean (std. dev.)</i>	<i>% of 1s</i>
55PLUS	1 if respondent is aged 55 or over, 0 otherwise		5.4%
AGE	The mid-point of the respondent's selected age category (72.5 is assumed for category 65+)	33.0 (8.9)	
AIRBAD	1 if respondent rated air pollution in their street or block as 2, 3 or 4 on a scale of 0–4, where 0 is no problem at all and 4 is a major problem, 0 otherwise		59.0%
ASTHMA	1 if respondent has asthma, 0 otherwise		19.8%
BOMBDAY	1 if respondent completed survey on the day following an attempted car bomb attack in London, 0 otherwise		10.2%
CHILDREN	1 if respondent has one or more children, 0 otherwise		16.6%
CROWDED	1 if respondent's household has fewer rooms (excluding bathrooms and toilets) than residents, 0 otherwise		16.4%
DEGREE	1 if respondent is educated to degree level or higher, 0 otherwise		87.4%
DIVSEP	1 if respondent is divorced, or separated from their spouse, 0 otherwise		4.7%
FEMALE	1 if respondent is female, 0 otherwise		62.5%
GROUPS	1 if respondent participates in groups, clubs or organisations at least once a week, 0 otherwise		39.7%
HEARTLUNG	1 if respondent has a heart or lung disease, 0 otherwise		0.8%
HOMEOWNER	1 if a member of the respondent's household owns the household's accommodation, 0 otherwise		53.4%

Table 2: Summary of variables (alphabetical order)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Definition</i>	<i>Mean (std. dev.)</i>	<i>% of 1s</i>
INCOMEK	Respondent's gross individual income, in thousands of pounds, calculated as gross household income divided by a household size equivalence factor $(a + 0.7c)^{0.5}$, a being the number of adults and c the number of children in the household, following Joung et al. (1997). Gross household income was calculated as the mid-point of the selected income bracket (£120,000 is assumed for category £100,000+).	47.3 (22.9)	
LOGINCOME	The natural log of the respondent's gross individual income, calculated as for INCOMEK (but not in thousands of pounds).	10.6 (0.65)	
MAINROAD50M	1 if respondent's home postcode Code-Point is within 50m of an A-road or motorway, 0 otherwise		17.5%
MARRIED	1 if respondent is married and living with their spouse, 0 otherwise		28.2%
NEIGHBOURS	1 if respondent speaks to neighbours at least once a week, 0 otherwise		26.8%
NO2C2007	NO ₂ 25-cell mean concentration, 2007 ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	42.8 (6.1)	
NO2TREND	NO ₂ 25-cell mean concentration annual trend ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	-0.9 (0.2)	
NOISEBAD	1 if respondent rated noise in their street or block as 2, 3 or 4 on a scale of 0–4, where 0 is no problem at all and 4 is a major problem, 0 otherwise		54.7%
OUTMUCH	1 if respondent spends more than one hour outdoors on an average weekday, 0 otherwise		43.2%
POORHEALTH	1 if respondent rates their own health as 'fair', 'bad' or 'very bad', 0 otherwise		12.3%

Table 2: Summary of variables (alphabetical order)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Definition</i>	<i>Mean (std. dev.)</i>	<i>% of 1s</i>
RELATIVES	1 if respondent meets or speaks to relatives outside the household at least once a week, 0 otherwise		69.0%
RELIGIOUS	1 if respondent chose “I believe in a God with whom I can have a personal relationship” as closest to their beliefs, 0 otherwise		16.3%
REVSACLE	1 if rating scales were presented to respondent in reverse order, 0 otherwise		48.4%
SINGLEPARENT	1 if respondent has children and is the only adult in their household, 0 otherwise		1.6%
SOCIALTENANT	1 if respondent’s accommodation is rented from a person or body other than a private landlord or letting agent, 0 otherwise		4.5%
SOHOKM	Distance of respondent’s home postcode Code-Point from a point in Soho, Central London, as an approximation to the central business district, following Gibbons (2004) (km)	7.8 (3.9)	
SUNNY	1 if respondent reports weather as sunny or with sunny intervals during survey completion, 0 otherwise		38.7%
TRUST	1 if respondent agreed that “most people can be trusted”, 0 otherwise		72.9%
TV	1 if respondent watches television for more than 1 hour on an average weekday, 0 otherwise		40.7%

Table 3
 Estimated parameters for pollution perception model (ordered probit)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Coefficient (β)</i>	<i>Robust std. err.</i>
NO2C2007	0.079 ***	0.016
NO2TREND	-0.237	0.286
ASTHMA	0.424 *	0.175
HEARTLUNG	0.768 ***	0.160
55PLUS	-0.278	0.295
OUTMUCH	0.247 †	0.144
CHILDREN	0.281	0.207
INCOMEK	-0.003	0.003
DEGREE	-0.032	0.240
SOHOKM	0.068 **	0.025
REVSCALE	0.097	0.140
<i>N</i>	234	
Log pseudo- <i>L</i>	-333.0	
Wald χ^2 (11)	169.2	
Pseudo- R^2	5.3%	

† $p < 0.1$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$

Table 4
Comparison of mean LS ratings

<i>Data set</i>	<i>Region</i>	<i>Mean LS rating</i>
This survey	London (020 area)	7.00
ESS 2	London (unknown)	6.47
ESS 2	UK	7.12

Table 5
 Estimated parameters for LS models: ordered probit and OLS

	<i>Model 1</i>	<i>Model 2</i>	<i>OLS</i>
<i>Variable</i>	<i>Coefficients</i>		
AGE	−0.016 †	−0.014 †	−0.023 †
FEMALE	0.112	0.065	0.065
DEGREE	−0.190	−0.240	−0.240
POORHEALTH	−1.134 ***	−1.175 ***	−1.691 ***
MARRIED	0.372 *	0.383 *	0.463 *
DIVSEP	0.290	0.275	0.428
LOGINCOME	0.209 *	0.228 *	0.363 *
HOMEOWNER	0.196	0.155	0.225
SOCIALTENANT	−0.400	−0.388	−0.646
CROWDED	−0.377 *	−0.330 †	−0.541 *
RELIGIOUS	0.165	0.118	0.163
TRUST	0.304 *	0.279 †	0.412 *
CHILDREN	−0.017	−0.062	0.020
SINGLEPARENT	−0.373	−0.293	−0.235
TV	0.029	0.017	0.047
RELATIVES	0.238 †	0.291 *	0.423 *
NEIGHBOURS	0.482 ***	0.454 **	0.645 **
GROUPS	0.351 **	0.350 **	0.424 *
SOHOKM	−0.042 *	−0.071 ***	−0.097 **
AIRBAD	−0.266 *		
NOISEBAD	0.188		
NO2C2007		−0.032 *	−0.042 *
NO2TREND		0.182	0.132
MAINROAD50M		0.124	0.209
BOMBDAY	0.181	0.167	0.209
SUNNY	−0.101	−0.078	−0.116
REVSCALE	0.102	0.082	0.092
<i>Constant</i>			5.901 **
<i>N</i>	331	331	331
Log pseudo- <i>L</i>	−536.2	−536.1	
Wald χ^2	123.8 ***	142.4 ***	
F			5.36 ***
Pseudo- R^2	9.1%	9.1%	
Adjusted R^2			24.9%

† $p < 0.1$, * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$




Fig. 1. Respondent postcode Code-Point locations, plotted over major roads and the River Thames (© Crown Copyright/database right 2007. An Ordnance Survey/EDINA supplied service.)




Fig. 2. Distributions of 25-cell mean pollutant concentrations in 2007 ($N = 360$)




Fig. 3. Life satisfaction ratings ($N = 413$)




Fig. 4. ESS 2 life satisfaction ratings for London ($N = 144$) and the UK ($N = 1890$)