

# MEI MT Problem Set 5<sup>1</sup>

Timothee Carayol

January 15, 2010

---

<sup>1</sup>Available on <http://personal.lse.ac.uk/carayolt/ec402.htm>

## Question 1

### Question

$y_i = \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \varepsilon_i$ ;  $A1, A2, A3F, A4GM, A5normal$  hold, with  $i = 1, \dots, 11$ . Further assume:  $x_1'x_1 = 2$ ,  $x_2'x_2 = 2$ ,  $x_1'x_2 = 1$ ,  $x_1'y = 1$ ,  $x_2'y = 1$ ,  $y'y = \frac{4}{3}$ . Finally assume that for two hypothetical observations we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,12} & x_{2,12} \\ x_{1,13} & x_{2,13} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \\ 3 & -7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

### Answer

- ▶ Some preliminary algebra:

$$X'X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1'x_1 & x_1'x_2 \\ x_2'x_1 & x_2'x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- ▶ Therefore  $(X'X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

- ▶  $\begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$ .

## Question 1 (cont)

Answer



$$\begin{aligned} s^2 &= \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{11-2} = \frac{y'M_X y}{9} = \frac{y'y - y'X(X'X)^{-1}X'y}{9} \\ &= \frac{\frac{4}{3} - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}{9} \\ &= \frac{\frac{4-2}{3}}{9} = \frac{2}{27} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ We'll start with (b), and then do (a), because (a) builds on (b).

## Question 1 (b)

### Answer

- ▶ (b) Want to find 80% *prediction* intervals for the expected value of the dependent variable  $y$  for observation 12 and 13.
- ▶ Note that we talk about *prediction* rather than *confidence* intervals here, because we want to find an interval around a predicted value than around a population parameter. But the method is the same: find a pivotal quantity whose distribution is known, and which allows us to build an interval which has a known probability to include our quantity of interest.



$$\begin{aligned} E(y_{12}) &= x'_{12}\beta \Rightarrow E(y_{12}) - x'_{12}\hat{\beta} = x'_{12}\beta - x'_{12}\hat{\beta} \\ &\Rightarrow E(y_{12}) - x'_{12}\hat{\beta} = x'_{12}(\beta - \hat{\beta}) \end{aligned}$$

## Question 1 (b) (cont)

### Answer

$$\begin{aligned} \blacktriangleright \beta - \hat{\beta} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 (X'X)^{-1}) \Rightarrow x'_{12}(\beta - \hat{\beta}) \sim \\ &\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 x'_{12} (X'X)^{-1} x_{12}) \end{aligned}$$

$\blacktriangleright$

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_{12}) - x'_{12}\hat{\beta} &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 x'_{12} (X'X)^{-1} x_{12}) \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}\right) \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2 (26)) \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, 26\sigma_{\epsilon}^2) \end{aligned}$$

## Question 1 (b) (cont)

### Answer

- ▶ But we do not know  $\sigma_\varepsilon$ , so we use instead

$$T = \frac{E(y_{12}) - x'_{12}\hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{26s^2}} = \frac{E(y_{12}) - x'_{12}\hat{\beta}}{\sqrt{1.93}} \sim t(N - K) = t(9).$$

- ▶ Our prediction interval for  $E(y_{12})$  is, then,

$$[x'_{12}\hat{\beta} - t_{9,10\%}\sqrt{1.93}, x'_{12}\hat{\beta} + t_{9,10\%}\sqrt{1.93}] = [1 - 1.383\sqrt{1.93}, 1 + 1.383\sqrt{1.93}].$$

- ▶ Likewise, we could find an 80% prediction interval for  $E(y_{13})$ :

$$[-\frac{4}{3} - 1.383\sqrt{3.901}, -\frac{4}{3} + 1.383\sqrt{3.901}].$$

## Question 1 (a)

### Answer

- ▶ (a) Want to find 80% *prediction* intervals for the dependent variable  $y$  for observation 12 and 13.

$$\begin{aligned}y_{12} &= \mathbf{x}'_{12}\beta + \varepsilon_{12} \Rightarrow y_{12} - \mathbf{x}'_{12}\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{x}'_{12}\beta - \mathbf{x}'_{12}\hat{\beta} + \varepsilon_{12} \\ &\Rightarrow y_{12} - \mathbf{x}'_{12}\hat{\beta} = \mathbf{x}'_{12}(\beta - \hat{\beta}) + \varepsilon_{12}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Note that the only difference from (b) is this extra randomness from the error term, which will increase the variance, and hence lead to a wider prediction interval compared to (b).

$$\begin{aligned}y_{12} - \mathbf{x}'_{12}\hat{\beta} &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2 \mathbf{x}'_{12}(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{x}_{12} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\right) \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2(26 + 1)\right) \\ &\sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, 27\sigma_{\varepsilon}^2\right)\end{aligned}$$

## Question 1 (a) (cont)

### Answer

- ▶ Otherwise, same thing as (b): substitute  $s^2$  for  $\sigma_\varepsilon^2$ , etc.
- ▶ Our prediction interval for  $y_{12}$  is, then,  
$$[x'_{12}\hat{\beta} - t_{9,10\%}\sqrt{2}, x'_{12}\hat{\beta} + t_{9,10\%}\sqrt{2}] =$$
$$[1 - 1.383\sqrt{2}, 1 + 1.383\sqrt{2}].$$
- ▶ Likewise, we could find an 80% prediction interval for  $y_{13}$ :  
$$[-\frac{4}{3} - 1.383\sqrt{3.975}, -\frac{4}{3} + 1.383\sqrt{3.975}].$$



## Question 1 (c)

### Answer

- ▶ Our answers differ because our prediction for  $y$  has to take into account the added randomness of the error term, which our prediction for  $E(y)$  ignores. Hence our prediction interval for  $y$  is wider.

## Question 2

### Question

Consider the model  $y = X\beta + \varepsilon$ ,  $X : T \times K$ , with (say)  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  *Rmi*.

### Answer

- ▶ First note that you can see both of the transformations suggested as a multiplication of the matrix of regressors  $X$  on the right by some  $K \times K$  non-singular matrix, say  $A$ .
- ▶ To see this, note that for any matrix  $A$ , each column of  $XA$  is a linear combination of the columns of  $X$ . Conversely, any transformation of  $X$  whereby each regressor is transformed into a linear combination of the columns of  $X$  can be written as  $Z = XA$  for some square matrix  $A$ ; if the transformation is such that no information is lost<sup>2</sup>, then  $A$  is non-singular.

---

<sup>2</sup>Loosely speaking. Another way of saying this is that each column of  $X$  is also a linear combination of the columns of  $Z$ .

## Question 2

### Answer

- ▶ Through such a transformation, the regression of  $y$  on  $Z$  is essentially the same as  $y$  on  $X$ . The coefficients may change, but not the residuals, the predictions, nor the goodness-of-fit.

To see this, note that

$$P_Z = (XA)([XA]'XA)^{-1}(XA)' = (XA)(A'X'XA)^{-1}A'X' = XAA^{-1}(X'X)^{-1}A^{-1}A'X' = X(X'X)^{-1}X' = P_X, \text{ hence}$$

$$\hat{y}_Z = P_Z y = P_X y = \hat{y}_X, \text{ and also } \hat{\epsilon}_Z = M_Z y = M_X y = \hat{\epsilon}_X.$$

- ▶ But, *in general*, the estimated coefficients will change:  $\hat{\beta}_Z = ([XA]'XA)^{-1}(XA)'y = A^{-1}(X'X)^{-1}A^{-1}A'X'y = A^{-1}\hat{\beta}_X.$

## Question 2 (a)

### Question

(a) Consider the transformation whereby one regressor is multiplied by  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Use this to explain whether and how linear regressions are sensitive to units of measurement.

### Answer

- ▶ The transformation leaves all columns of  $X$  unchanged except one which is multiplied by  $\lambda$ , meaning that  $A$  will be the  $K \times K$  identity matrix with one 1 substituted with  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ The inverse of  $A$  is then the identity with the same 1 replaced with  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ . This implies, from the previous discussion, that the coefficient on that regressor is multiplied by  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ , while all the others are unchanged.
- ▶ Note: Easy to see from  $\beta_k \cdot x_k = \frac{\beta_k}{\lambda} \cdot (\lambda x_k)$ .

## Question 2 (b)

### Question

(b) Consider the transformation whereby we add a constant  $\lambda$  to one regressor. Use this to explain whether and how linear regressions are sensitive to units of measurement when the corresponding regressor is measured in logs.

### Answer

- ▶ Again, the transformation leaves all columns unchanged except one to which  $\lambda$  is added.  $A$  will be the  $K \times K$  identity matrix, with a  $\lambda$  at the first row of the column corresponding to the modified regressor.
- ▶ The inverse of  $A$  is then the identity with a  $-\lambda$  instead of the  $\lambda$  in  $A$  (see board). Hence the coefficient on the regressors are unchanged, except for the constant, to which we subtract  $\lambda$ .
- ▶ Note: Easy to see from

$$\beta_0 + \beta_k \cdot x_k = (\beta_0 - \lambda\beta_k) + \beta_k \cdot (\lambda + x_k).$$