Derivation of Wage Elasticity of Labour

Demand and Profits

The production function is assumed to be given by:

$$Y_{it} = \left[a_1 (A_{it} N_{it})^{\rho} + a_2 K_{it}^{\rho}\right]^{\frac{\xi}{\rho}}$$
(a1)

where Y_{it} is output, N_{it} employment, K_{it} capital and A_{it} labour-augmenting technical progress. Assume the demand curve for the firm's product is given by:

$$Y_{it} = \left(P_{it} \mid P_{t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{\theta}} G_{t}$$
 (a2)

where P_{it} is the firm's own price, P_t the aggregate price level and G_t some measure of aggregate demand.

From (a2) we can write real profits as:

$$\Pi_{it} = G_t^{\theta} Y_t^{1-\theta} - \frac{W_{it}}{P_t} (1 + \tau_t) N_{it}$$
 (a3)

By differentiating (a1) we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial Y_{it}}{\partial N_{it}} = \xi . \alpha_1 . A_{it} \left(\frac{Y_{it}}{A_{it} N_{it}} \right)^{1 - \rho} Y_{it}^{\frac{\rho(\xi - 1)}{\xi}}$$
(a4)

By differentiating (a3), the first-order condition for profit maximisation is:

$$(1-\theta)G_t^{\theta}Y_{it}^{-\theta}\frac{\partial Y_{it}}{\partial N_{it}} = \frac{W_{it}}{P_t}(1+\tau_t)$$
(a5)

1

Substitute (a4) in (a5), take logs and differentiating with respect to the log of w_{it} yields:

$$\theta \frac{\partial \log Y_{it}}{\partial \log N_{it}} \cdot \frac{\partial \log N_{it}}{\partial \log W_{it}} + (1 - \rho) \left[\frac{\partial \log Y_{it}}{\partial \log N_{it}} - 1 \right] \frac{\partial \log N_i}{\partial \log W_i} + \frac{\rho(\xi - 1)}{\xi} \frac{\partial \log Y_{it}}{\partial \log N_{it}} \cdot \frac{\partial \log N_{it}}{\partial \log W_{it}} = 1$$
(a6)

Re-arranging, we obtain:

.

i. 4

$$\epsilon_{Nt} = -\frac{\partial \log N_{it}}{\partial \log W_{it}} = \frac{1}{(1-\rho) - \left(1-\theta - \frac{\rho}{\xi}\right) \frac{\partial \log Y_{it}}{\partial \log N_{it}}}$$
(a7)

Now, using (a2) in (a5) we can derive:

$$\frac{\partial \log Y_{it}}{\partial \log N_{it}} = \frac{1}{1-\theta} \cdot \frac{W_{it}(1+\tau_t)N_{it}}{P_{it}Y_{it}} = \frac{\Phi_{it}}{1-\theta}$$
(a8)

Substituting (a8) in (a7) and imposing symmetry yields (18).

From the envelope condition,

$$\frac{\partial \Pi_{it}}{\partial W_{it}} = -\frac{(1+\tau_i)N_{it}}{P_t}$$
(a9)

So,

$$\epsilon_{\Pi t} = -\frac{\partial \log \Pi_{it}}{\partial \log W_{it}} = \frac{W_{it}(1+\tau_t)N_{it}}{P_{it}Y_{it} - W_{it}(1+\tau_t)N_{it}} = \frac{\Phi_{it}}{1-\Phi_{it}}$$
(a10)

which, imposing symmetry, is (19).

,

ι

NA.