

# International Monetary Policy

## 10 Open Macro - Exchange Rate <sup>1</sup>

Michele Piffer

London School of Economics

---

<sup>1</sup>Course prepared for the Shanghai Normal University, College of Finance, April 2011

# Lecture topic and references

- ▶ In this lecture we understand what we mean by nominal and exchange rates
- ▶ Mishkin, Chapter 17; Krugman-Obstfeld, Chapter 13

# International Economics

- ▶ It is now time to move from closed economy to open economy
- ▶ The first step is to understand precisely what we mean by exchange rates. Afterwards, we will proceed as follows
  - ▶ Understand the determinants of foreign currency demand and supply (Balance of Payment, National Accounting)
  - ▶ Understand the implications of flexible vs. fixed exchange rate regimes
  - ▶ Review some theory on exchange rate determination (PPP and UIP)
  - ▶ Redesign the IS - LM model in an open economy setting

# Nominal Exchange Rates

- ▶ The purchase of a good is typically expressed in terms of a unit of measurement: we can say that a TV costs 200 \$ since there is an accepted medium of exchange like the dollar
- ▶ When the exchange of goods and assets is not within a country but between countries we have a lack of a commonly accepted medium of exchange: the two different countries will have different currencies
- ▶ The exchange rate is the rate at which different currencies are traded
- ▶ What makes exchange rates particular is that the value of one currency is expressed in terms of the other currency

# Nominal Exchange Rates

- ▶ For the sake of generality, consider two countries: the *Domestic* country and the *Foreign* country
- ▶ You may think of China as the domestic country and US as the foreign country. For reasons that you can easily imagine, your textbook does exactly the opposite
- ▶ Define  $E$  as the *Nominal Exchange Rate*
- ▶ Denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  the domestic currency and by  $\mathcal{F}$  the foreign currency
- ▶ Being a relative measure, it turns out that one can define the exchange rate in two symmetric ways. Let's see them both

# Nominal Exchange Rates - Direct Way

- ▶ The direct (or American) definition of a the exchange rate is:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}} &= \text{price of the foreign in terms of domestic} = \\ &= \text{number of domestic per 1 unit of foreign} = \\ &= \frac{\# \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}}{1 \mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For instance, if  $E_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}} = 2$  it means that you need 2 units of domestic currency to get one unit of foreign currency
- ▶ Equivalently, you need half a unit of foreign currency to get one unit of domestic currency

# Nominal Exchange Rates - Direct Way

- ▶ Suppose that  $E_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C},\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}}$  goes up to 3. This means that:
  - ▶ We need more units of domestic currency to get the same units of foreign currency
  - ▶ Equivalently, we need fewer units of foreign currency to get the same amount of domestic currency
- ▶ This means that the foreign currency has become stronger relative to the domestic currency
- ▶ Domestic currency has *depreciated*, foreign currency has *appreciated*

## Nominal Exchange Rates - Indirect Way

- ▶ The indirect (or European) definition of a the exchange rate is:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}} &= \text{price of the domestic in terms of foreign} = \\ &= \text{number of foreign per 1 unit of domestic} = \\ &= \frac{\#\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}}{1\mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ For instance, if  $E_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}} = 2$  it means that you need 2 units of foreign currency to get one unit of domestic currency
- ▶ Equivalently, you need half a unit of domestic currency to get one unit of foreign currency



## Nominal Exchange Rates - Indirect Way

- ▶ Suppose that  $E_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}}$  goes up to 3. This means that:
  - ▶ We need more units of foreign currency to get the same units of domestic currency
  - ▶ Equivalently, we need fewer units of domestic currency to get the same amount of foreign currency
- ▶ This means that the *domestic* currency has become stronger relative to the foreign currency. In other words
- ▶ Domestic currency has *appreciated*, foreign currency has *depreciated*

# Nominal Exchange Rates

- ▶ Which definition do we use? Most textbooks follow the direct definition. But be aware of the distinction

$$E = E_{\mathcal{D}c, \mathcal{F}c} = \frac{\# \mathcal{D}c}{1 \mathcal{F}c}$$

- ▶ Exchange rate going up does not mean anything if one does not specify the definition of the exchange rate used
- ▶ To avoid mistakes, think in terms of currencies appreciating - depreciating, not in terms of exchange rates going up or down
- ▶ Remember, for us an increase in E means domestic currency depreciates

## Exercise 1 on Exchange Rates

- ▶ Consider China as the domestic economy and the US as foreign economy. China uses RMB, the US uses \$
- ▶ Say that the forex market trades 6 RMB against 1 \$. What is the direct nominal exchange rate? What is the indirect nominal exchange rate? Interpret
- ▶ Suppose that the market moves to trade at 8 RMB against 1 \$. What happens to the nominal exchange rate? Interpret

# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ Consider a case where the domestic currency depreciates nominally (the exchange rate goes up)
- ▶ This means that it is easier now for foreign citizens to buy our currency: domestic currency is weaker, hence you need less foreign currency to buy the same amount of domestic currency
- ▶ One might think that this is equivalent to saying that domestic goods have become more competitive
- ▶ Is this reasoning true?

# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ Not necessarily: what if domestic prices have gone up? Surely foreign citizens will find it easier to buy domestic currency, but they will need a higher amount of it
- ▶ The same thing happens if domestic price stay the same and foreign prices decrease
- ▶ It is true that domestic currency is now cheaper, but domestic goods are competing with cheaper foreign goods (cheaper in foreign currency, of course)

# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ The same argument goes through if the domestic currency appreciates
- ▶ An equivalent amount of domestic currency will buy a bigger amount of foreign currency
- ▶ Does this mean that foreign goods are more competitive? Not necessarily: what if foreign prices have increase, and-or domestic prices have decrease?
- ▶ As you see, we need to refine the nominal exchange rate to account for variations in prices. This is what the Real Exchange Rate does

# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ Define  $P$  as the level of prices in the domestic economy and  $P^*$  as the price level in the foreign country expressed in foreign currency
- ▶ A better measure of competitiveness is given by the relative cost of goods in different countries when expressed in a common currency
- ▶ Define the real exchange rate  $\epsilon$  as

$$\epsilon = \frac{E \cdot P^*}{P}$$

# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ An increase in  $\epsilon$  means that the domestic currency depreciates *in real terms*, i.e. that domestic goods become more competitive relative to foreign goods
- ▶ An decrease in  $\epsilon$  means that the domestic currency appreciates *in real terms*, i.e. that domestic goods become less competitive relative to foreign goods



# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ To see what determines appreciation and depreciation of  $\epsilon$ , rewrite the real exchange rate in terms of percentage variations instead of levels
- ▶ Define  $\pi$  as the domestic inflation rate and  $\pi^*$  the foreign inflation rate. It follows that

$$\% \Delta \epsilon = \% \Delta E + \pi^* - \pi$$

- ▶ If domestic and foreign prices do not change, a depreciation of the nominal exchange rate implies a depreciation of the real exchange rate: foreign currency becomes stronger and domestic good become more competitive

# Real Exchange Rates

- ▶ Given constant nominal exchange rate and domestic prices, the domestic currency depreciates in real terms if there is an increase in foreign prices: the price in domestic currency of foreign goods increase, domestic goods become more competitive
- ▶ Given constant nominal exchange rate and foreign prices, the domestic currency depreciates in real terms if there is a decrease in domestic prices: domestic goods are now cheaper than foreign goods (both in domestic and foreign currency), domestic goods become more competitive

# Real Exchange Rate and Trade Flows

- ▶ We have seen that the real exchange rate is a possible measurement of the competitiveness of domestic goods
- ▶ Define  $X$  as exports of the domestic country and  $IM$  as its imports
- ▶ We will assume that

$$X = X(\epsilon_+)$$

$$IM = IM(\epsilon_-, Y_+)$$

- ▶ Exports are increasing in the real exchange rate; imports decrease in the real exchange rate and increase in domestic national income

## Exercise 2 on Exchange Rates

- ▶ Suppose that the RMB appreciates nominally by 5 %, that Chinese prices increase by 2 % and US prices by 10 %. What happens to the RMB and the \$ in real terms?
- ▶ What is the rate of nominal appreciation that would make the real exchange rate constant despite a movement in prices?

# Plan for the Future

- ▶ We have seen what the exchange rate is. But what are its determinants?
- ▶ To answer this question we need to understand who is demanding and who is supplying foreign vs. domestic currency. That's our next topic