

PROBLEM SET 7, JULLIARD

MICHELE PIFFER

$$c_t = A \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \cdot \varepsilon_t$$

grows as t increases but is subject to shock ε_t :

$$\log(\varepsilon) \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \text{ so } \varepsilon \sim \text{log Normal}$$

In general, $\log A \sim N(B, C)$ $E(A) = e^{B + \frac{C}{2}}$, so here

$$E(\varepsilon_t) = e^{0 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}}, \text{ hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(c_t) &= E\left[A \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \cdot \varepsilon_t\right] = \\ &= A \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \cdot E(\varepsilon_t) = A \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} = \\ &= A \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \end{aligned}$$

Given lifetime utility to $\left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p}\right)^t \frac{c_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right]$, compute λ s.t.

$$E_0 \left[\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p}\right)^t \frac{[(1+\lambda)c_t]}{1-\gamma} \right] = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p}\right)^t \frac{[A e^{\mu \cdot t}]}{1-\gamma}$$

that is, compute λ that gives certainty equivalence

Multiply both sides by $1-\gamma$, get rid of the denominator

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{RHS is } & \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p} \right)^t \cdot \frac{A^{1-\alpha} \cdot e^{(1-\alpha)\mu \cdot t}}{\cancel{t!}} = \\
 & = A^{1-\alpha} \cdot \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{(1-\alpha)\mu}}{1+p} \right)^t = A^{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{e^{(1-\alpha)\mu}}{1+p}} = \\
 & = A^{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{1+p}{1+p - e^{(1-\alpha)\mu}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{LHS is } \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p} \right)^t (1+\lambda)^{1-\alpha} \cdot E(c_t^{1-\alpha}) = \dots$$

of ~~course~~ course, given Jensen inequality
 $E(c_t^{1-\alpha}) \neq [E(c_t)]^{1-\alpha}$.

$$= (1+\lambda)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p} \right)^t \cdot E(c_t^{1-\alpha})$$

Note, $c_t = A \cdot e^{\mu \cdot t} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \cdot \varepsilon_t$; ε_t log normal,
 so c_t log normal

$$c_t^{1-\alpha} = \left[A e^{\mu t} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \right]^{1-\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_t^{1-\alpha}$$

given $\log(\varepsilon_t)$ normal, $\log(\varepsilon_t^{1-\alpha}) = (1-\alpha) \log \varepsilon_t$

still normal, with mean 0 and variance $(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma^2$.
 Hence

$$\cancel{E(c_t^{1-\alpha})} = e^{\frac{(1-\alpha)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \quad \text{since will be log normal}$$

Substitute in, get

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(e^{t-\delta}) &= \left[A e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \right]^{1-\delta} \cdot e^{(1-\delta)\mu t} \cdot E(E^{1-\delta}) = \\
 &= \left[A e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2} \right]^{1-\delta} \cdot e^{(1-\delta)\mu t} \cdot e^{\frac{(1-\delta)^2 \sigma^2}{2}} = \\
 &= A^{1-\delta} e^{(1-\delta)\mu t} \cdot e^{\frac{(1-\delta)^2 \sigma^2}{2} - \frac{(1-\delta) \sigma^2}{2}} = \\
 &= A^{1-\delta} \cdot e^{(1-\delta)\mu t - \frac{\delta(1-\delta) \sigma^2}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, LHS is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1+\lambda)^{1-\delta} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+p} \right)^r A^{1-\delta} e^{(1-\delta)\mu t} e^{-\frac{\delta(1-\delta) \sigma^2}{2}} &= \\
 = \left[(1+\lambda) A \cdot e^{-\frac{\delta \sigma^2}{2}} \right]^{1-\delta} \cdot \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{(1-\delta)\mu}}{1+p} \right)^r &= \\
 = \left[(1+\lambda) A e^{-\frac{\delta \sigma^2}{2}} \right]^{1-\delta} \cdot \frac{1+p}{1+p - e^{(1-\delta)\mu}} &= \\
 = \left[(1+\lambda) e^{-\frac{\delta \sigma^2}{2}} \right]^{1-\delta} \cdot A^{1-\delta} \cdot \frac{1+p}{1+p - e^{(1-\delta)\mu}} &=
 \end{aligned}$$

From LHS = RHS, get

$$\left[(1+\lambda) e^{-\frac{\delta \sigma^2}{2}} \right]^{1-\delta} = 1$$

$$(1+\lambda) e^{-\frac{\delta \sigma^2}{2}} = 1$$

$$(1+\lambda) = e^{\frac{\delta \sigma^2}{2}}$$

True result: $\lambda = e^{\frac{\sigma \sigma^2}{2}} - 1$

Approximated result: take log

$$\log(H\lambda) = \frac{\sigma \sigma^2}{2};$$

but $\log(H\lambda) \approx \lambda$ if λ close to zero, so

$$\lambda \approx \frac{\sigma \sigma^2}{2}$$

if $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 10)$ and $\sigma = 0.025$,

~~therefore~~ λ can be very small, so no big cost of business cycle fluctuations,