

# Haag and Lagunoff, I. (2007) “On the size and structure of group cooperation”

Oliver Pardo

09-02-2011

# Outline

- 1 Preview of results
- 2 Definitions
- 3 Example on heterogeneity
- 4 Example on population size

# Preview of results

- Main idea:
  - Mancur Olson claimed that cooperation decreases with group size
  - In the context of infinitely repeated PD, Olson's Conjecture might be reverted
  - In particular, with heterogeneous  $\delta$ 's and  $n$  large enough, increases on  $n$  increase cooperation.

# Outline

- 1 Preview of results
- 2 Definitions**
- 3 Example on heterogeneity
- 4 Example on population size

# Definitions

- Let  $p_i$  describe the cooperation level of player  $i$
- Let  $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n)$
- Let  $E(\delta)$  be the set of equilibria of the infinitely RG
- The maximum average cooperation (MAC) is defined by

$$\max_{p \in E(\delta)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \quad (1)$$

# Prisoner's Dilemma for $n = 2$

- |     | $C$     | $D$     |
|-----|---------|---------|
| $C$ | $c, c$  | $-l, d$ |
| $D$ | $d, -l$ | $0, 0$  |
- where
    - $d > c > 0 > -l$
    - $2d > d - l > c$

# Prisoner's Dilemma for $n = 2$

- Payoff function

$$\pi_i(p) = p_i(p_j c - (1 - p_j)l) + (1 - p_i)p_j d \quad (2)$$

- If  $P \equiv p_1 + p_2$  we can rewrite  $\pi_i(p)$  as

$$\pi(p_i, P) = (P - p_i)d - p_i(P - p_i)(d - c - l) - p_i(2 - 1)l \quad (3)$$

# Prisoner's Dilemma for any $n$

- Payoff function

$$\pi_i(p) = \sum_{j \neq i} p_j (p_j c - (1 - p_j) l) + (1 - p_i) p_j d \quad (4)$$

- If  $P \equiv \sum_i p_i$  we can rewrite  $\pi_i(p)$  as

$$\pi(p_i, P) = (P - p_i) d - p_i (P - p_i) (d - c - l) - p_i (n - 1) l \quad (5)$$



# Outline

- 1 Preview of results
- 2 Definitions
- 3 Example on heterogeneity**
- 4 Example on population size

- What's the effect of a mean preserving effect of  $\delta$  on the MAC?

# Numerical example

- Consider  $C$ 

	$C$	$D$
$C$	2, 2	-1, 4
$D$	4, -1	0, 0

# Numerical example

- Any equilibrium cooperation levels  $(p_1, p_2)$  in the infinitely RG have to satisfy

$$p_i(p_j^2 - (1 - p_j)) + (1 - p_i)p_j^4 \geq (1 - \delta_i)p_j^4 \text{ for } i = 1, 2 \quad (6)$$

# Numerical example

- If  $\delta'_1 = \delta'_2 = 1/2$  then  $p_1^*(\delta') = p_2^*(\delta') = 1$
- What if  $\delta''_1 = 3/4$  and  $\delta''_2 = 1/4$ ?
- Clearly  $p_1^*$  can't increase, so MAC decreases
- In particular  $p_1^*(\delta'') = 1$  and  $p_2^*(\delta'') = 1/2$

# Example

- The MAC can be proved to be non increasing in the heterogeneity of  $\delta$
- The result can be generalized to any **collective action** games
- Preference for homogeneous populations?

# Outline

- 1 Preview of results
- 2 Definitions
- 3 Example on heterogeneity
- 4 Example on population size

- What's the effect of  $n$  on the MAC?
- Take the previous numerical example and double the population
- Distribution of  $\delta$  is replicated, i.e.,

$$\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_1, \delta_2) \quad (7)$$



- Assume that for  $n = 2$ ,  $\delta_L < \delta_H$
- Assume that incentive compatibility constraints (6) bind
- Therefore

$$p_i = \frac{\delta_i}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{n-1-P_{-i}}{P_{-i}}} \quad (8)$$

- $i$ 's cooperation is increasing on others' cooperation ratio  $\frac{P_{-i}}{n-1}$
- For  $i = H$ , cooperation ratio increases from  $\frac{p_L}{1}$  to  $\frac{2p_L+p_H}{3}$
- For  $i = L$ , cooperation ratio decreases from  $\frac{p_H}{1}$  to  $\frac{2p_H+p_L}{3}$
- So  $H$ 's cooperation increases whereas  $L$ 's cooperation decreases
- The first effect dominates because the negative effect on  $L$ 's cooperation is damped by  $\delta_L < \delta_H$
- Thus the MAC increases