

EC402 (2023/24)

RAGVIK'S SPEAKING NOTES FOR CLASS

Vassilis PS1 (WK 2, AT)

(Q1)

Transformations of RVs : $Y = g(X)$ where $g(\cdot)$ is a deterministic function of X .

(a) "Manual" method :

$$\text{Say } P(X=x) = \begin{cases} 1/3, & x = -1, 0, 1 \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

and $Y = X^2 + 2$, what is $P(Y=y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$?

x	-1	0	1
$P(Y=y)$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
y	3	2	3

$$\cdot P(Y=2) = P(X=0) = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot P(Y=3) &\stackrel{CE}{=} P((X=-1) \cup (X=1)) \\ &\stackrel{ME}{=} P(X=-1) + P(X=1) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\cdot P(((Y=2) \cup (Y=3))^C) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P(Y=y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}, & y=2 \\ \frac{2}{3}, & y=3 \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

==

(b) "First principles" method:

$$\text{Say } f_X(x) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & x \in (-1, 1) \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases} \quad / \quad \text{and } Y := X^2 + 2.$$

Anyone feel like making a table?! Exactly! So we need to think.

$$\begin{aligned} P(Y \leq y) &= P(X^2 + 2 \leq y) \\ &= P(X^2 \leq y - 2) \\ &= P(|X| \leq \sqrt{y-2}) \\ &= P(-\sqrt{y-2} \leq X \leq \sqrt{y-2}) \\ &= P(X \leq \sqrt{y-2}) - P(X \leq -\sqrt{y-2}) \\ &= F_X(\sqrt{y-2}) - F_X(-\sqrt{y-2}) \quad \text{--- (1)} \end{aligned}$$

Brilliant! let's work out $F_X(x)$:

$$\int_{-1}^x 1/2 \, dk = \left. \frac{1}{2}k \right|_{-1}^x = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{x+1}{2}$$

$$\therefore F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < -1 \\ \frac{x+1}{2}, & -1 \leq x < 1 \\ 1, & 0/w \end{cases} \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Notice also if $x \in (-1, 1)$, $y \in (2, 3)$ --- (3)

① & ② & ③ \Rightarrow

$$F_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0, & y < 2 \\ \left(\frac{\lfloor \sqrt{y-2} \rfloor + 1}{2} - \left(\frac{\lfloor \sqrt{y-2} \rfloor + 1}{2} \right) \right), & 2 \leq y < 3 \\ 1, & 0/w \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & , y < 2 \\ \sqrt{y-2} & , 2 \leq y < 3 \\ 1 & , 0/\omega \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore f_y(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (y-2)^{-1/2} & , 2 \leq y < 3 \\ 0 & , 0/\omega \end{cases}$$

(Test yourself: Would "method(b)" work for the example in "method(a)"?)

Q: But, Raquir, isn't there some sort of formula?

A: Well, fine but be careful ... it is only valid in specific settings...

TRANSFORMATION FORMULA:

Theorem: $X \sim f_X(x)$; $Y := g(X)$ where $X \in X$ and $Y \in Y$

Suppose $g(\cdot)$ is a monotone function and that $\bar{g}'(\cdot)$ is continuously differentiable on Y

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} f_X(\bar{g}(y)) \left| \frac{d}{dy} \bar{g}(y) \right|, & y \in Y \\ 0, & y \notin Y \end{cases}$$

Proof: let $\bar{g}(\cdot)$ be increasing: eg: $Y := e^X$

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(\bar{g}(y) \leq \bar{g}(y)) = P(X \leq \bar{g}(y)) = F_X(\bar{g}(y))$$

$$\text{So, } f_Y(y) = f_X(\bar{g}(y)) \frac{d \bar{g}(y)}{dy}$$

let $\bar{g}(\cdot)$ be decreasing :

$$F_Y(y) = P(Y \leq y) = P(\bar{g}(Y) \geq \bar{g}(y)) = P(X \geq \bar{g}(y)) = 1 - F_X(\bar{g}(y))$$

$$\text{So } f_Y(y) = f_X(\bar{g}(y)) \cdot - \frac{d\bar{g}(y)}{dy}$$

Putting both cases together, the result follows for any monotone $\bar{g}(\cdot)$.

(\hookrightarrow) "Formula" method:

Given $X \sim \text{Unif}(-1, 1)$,

Assume $z \in [0, 1)$

• Transformation: $y := x^2 + 2$

• Inverse trans.: $x = \sqrt{y - 2}$

• Range of transformed var.: $x \in (-1, 1) \Rightarrow y \in (2, 3)$

• |Jacobian|: $\left| \frac{1}{2} (y-2)^{-1/2} \right| \rightarrow$ ("|." is "absolute value" here.)

Now, for $x \in [-1, 0)$, by symmetry, the analysis will be the same

$$\therefore f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (y-2)^{-1/2}, & 2 < y < 3 \\ 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

(Q2)

$$\begin{aligned} E(ax+by) &:= \int \int_{y,x} (ax+by) \cdot f_{x,y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= a \int \int_{y,x} x f_{x,y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy + b \int \int_{y,x} y f_{x,y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= a \int_x x \int_y f_{x,y}(x,y) \, dy \, dx + b \int_y y \int_x f_{x,y}(x,y) \, dx \, dy \\ &= a \int_x x f_x(x) \, dx + b \int_y y \cdot f_y(y) \, dy \\ &= a E(x) + b E(y) \end{aligned}$$

Q3(a)

Assume $X \perp Y$

THEN...

$$E[X|Y] := \int x \cdot f_{X|Y=y}(x) dx$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Def'n}}{=} \int x f_{X,Y}(x,y) / f_Y(y) dx$$

$$\stackrel{X \perp Y}{=} \int x f_X(x) dx$$

$$= E[X]$$

KEY POINT: FULL INDEP. \Rightarrow MEAN INDEP



(b)

Assume $E[X|Y] = E[X]$ — ①

Then...

$$E[(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))] \stackrel{\text{why?}}{=} \int_Y \int_X xy f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy - \int_X x f_X(x) dx \int_Y y f_Y(y) dy$$

eg: Can you prove that
 $\text{Var}(X) = \dots = E[X^2] - [E(X)]^2$?

$$= \int_Y \int_X xy f_{X|Y=y}(x) f_Y(y) dx dy - E(X)E(Y)$$

$$= \int_Y y f_Y(y) \int_X x f_{X|Y=y}(x) dx dy - E(X)E(Y)$$

$$= \int_Y y f_Y(y) E[X|Y=y] dy - E(X)E(Y)$$

Assump. ①

$$= E(X)E(Y) - E(X)E(Y) = 0$$

Again, the reverse is not true.

(Q4)

Step 1: let's recall the univariate case...

Say $Z \sim N(0,1)$ and $X := \mu + \sigma Z$

Then $M_Z(t) := E[e^{tZ}]$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tz} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}z^2} dz$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[z^2 - 2tz]} dz$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}[(z-t)^2 - t^2]} dz$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} (z-t)^2 &= z^2 - 2tz + t^2 \\ \therefore (z-t)^2 - t^2 &= z^2 - 2tz \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow$$

$$= e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2} \left[\text{times } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(z-t)^2} dz \right]$$

which is 1 since it's the integral of a $N(t, 1)$ PDF.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } M_X(t) &= E[e^{tx}] = E[e^{t(\mu + \sigma Z)}] \\ &= e^{t\mu} E[e^{t\sigma Z}] \\ &= e^{t\mu} M_Z(t\sigma) \\ &= e^{t\mu} e^{\frac{1}{2}t^2\sigma^2} \\ &= e^{t\mu + \frac{1}{2}t^2\sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

Brilliant! Let's extend to the multivariate case
(w/o proof because that adds no extra intuition)

ELEMENTARY MULTIVARIATE RESULTS USED IN PS 1:

(A) Remember in EC400, we did $E[\cdot]$ and $\text{Var}[\cdot]$ rules?
eg. $E[ax] = a E[x]$, and
 $\text{Var}[ax] = a^2 \text{Var}[x]$, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and x a scalar RV.

(B) Well, vectors work the same way:
eg: let X be an $n \times 1$ vector-valued RV. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\text{Then, } E[a'X] = a' E[X], \text{ and}$$
$$\text{Var}[a'X] = a' \text{Var}[X] a$$

Note 1: $\Sigma := \text{Var}[X] = E[(X - E[X])(X - E[X])']$, an $n \times n$ matrix s.t.
 $\lambda_i(\Sigma) > 0$ and $\Sigma = \Sigma'$.

Note 2: $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma) \Leftrightarrow f_X(x) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \det\{\Sigma\}^{-1/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)\right]$
for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If additionally $\Sigma = \sigma^2 I_n$ and say $\mu_i = \mu_j = \underline{\mu}$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ then also
 $X_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(\underline{\mu}, \sigma^2)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Step 2: Say $X_{n \times 1} \sim N(\mu_{n \times 1}, \Sigma_{n \times n})$.

Analogous to the univariate case, we have that for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M_X(t) = \exp\left[t'\mu + \frac{1}{2}t'\Sigma t\right].$$

Step 3: Define $Y := a'X$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$

(usual stuff from Q1, T51 — i.e. we have X and $F_X(x)$! We also have a transformation Y . Find $F_Y(y)$.)

let's see how...

$$M_Y(t) \stackrel{\text{Def}^1}{=} E[e^{t'y}] \stackrel{\text{Def}^1}{=} E[e^{t'a'x}] = E[e^{(at)'x}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Def}^1}{=} M_X(at) \stackrel{\text{Step 2}}{=} \exp \left[t'a'\mu + \frac{1}{2} t'a' \Sigma a t \right]$$

Job done. 3 proofs in one shot! Why? Because...

By the uniqueness property of MGFs, we recognise that

$$Y \sim N(a'\mu, a'\Sigma a).$$

(5) DEFINITION. An $n \times n$ (square) matrix A is said to be non-singular when $Ax = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$.

PROPOSITION 5.a. If A is positive definite, then A is non-singular.

PROOF.

• let us recall that a positive definite $n \times n$ matrix A is s.t.

$$x'Ax \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \\ > 0, & \text{o/w} \end{cases}, \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

- Looking at the above definition,

(i) given A is positive definite, for any $x \neq 0$, $x'Ax > 0$;
but then, clearly, for any $x \neq 0$, $Ax \neq 0$.

(ii) Moreover, for $x=0$, it is clear that $Ax=0$.

It follows that A is non-singular. This completes the proof.

Vassilis' solution is as above but

here's an alternative very useful way to think about it:

- A is P.D. $\Rightarrow \lambda_n\{A\} > 0$, where $\lambda_i\{.\}$ denotes the i^{th} largest eigenvalue for $i=1, \dots, n$.

- But clearly then,

$$\det\{A\} = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\{A\} > 0. \text{ The result follows.}$$

PROPOSITION 5b. If X is an $n \times k$ matrix ($n > k$) s.t.
 $\text{rank}(X) = k$, then $(X'X)$ is positive
definite and non-singular.

PROOF.

• let us recall that if $\text{rank}(X) = k$, we
have that for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

it's just a "matrix
way" of giving the
lin. indep. condition
among columns of X . ← $Xy \begin{cases} = 0, & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \neq 0, & \text{if } y \neq 0. \end{cases}$

• Then, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^k$ s.t. $y \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} y'(X'X)y &= (Xy)'(Xy) \\ &= \|Xy\|_2^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

• Moreover, for $y = 0$, it is trivial
that $Xy = 0$.

[Above, the notation $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the L_2 -norm.]

- Given (i) the definition of positive definiteness as recapitulated in the proof of Proposition 5.a ; and subsequently,
(ii) Proposition 5.a itself,
the required results follow.
This completes the proof.

(Q6) See official solutions. I don't have much to add.

(Q7) PROPOSITION 7a. For $n \times n$ non-singular matrices A and B , it holds that:

$$(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

PROOF.

• Since A and B are square and non-singular, there exist matrices A^{-1} and B^{-1} s.t.

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = BB^{-1} = B^{-1}B = I_n.$$

• Next, by non-singularity of A , we have that for any $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$Av = 0 \text{ if and only if } v = 0.$$

• It must then be true that the previous statement holds for choice of $v = Bx$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In other words,

$$A(Bx) = 0 \text{ if and only if } Bx = 0.$$

• But by non-singularity of B , we know that

$$Bx = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0.$$

• We thus have that $(AB)x = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$, proving that square matrix AB is non-singular so that there exists a matrix $(AB)^{-1}$ s.t.

$$(AB)(AB)^{-1} = (AB)^{-1}(AB) = I_n.$$

• Given $(AB)(AB)^{-1} = I_n$, we have that

$$B^{-1}A^{-1}(AB)(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$$

$$\therefore (AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}.$$

This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 7b. For $n \times n$ non-singular matrix A , it holds that

$$(A')^{-1} = (A^{-1})'$$

PROOF. Given square non-singular matrix A , we know that there exists a matrix A^{-1} s.t.

$$AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I_n.$$

Then, $(AA^{-1})' = (A^{-1})'A' = I_n' = I_n.$

- Further, we know that since A^{-1} exists, $(A')^{-1}$ also exists [because $\det\{A\} = \det\{A'\} \neq 0$]
- Post multiplying $(A^{-1})'A' = I_n$ on both sides by $(A')^{-1}$, the required result follows.
- This completes the proof.

(8) Proposition 8.a.

(let's also add "real" to keep things easier)
For P.D. symmetric matrix A , there exists a
a square N.S. matrix P s.t. $A = PP'$.

PROOF OF 8.a.

(i) Lemma 8.a.1.

Since A is a real symmetric matrix, there exists a representation

$$A = V\Lambda V'$$

where $\Lambda := \text{diag} \{ \lambda_1 \{A\}, \dots, \lambda_n \{A\} \}$ is a diagonal matrix of ordered eigenvalues of A , and

where $V := [v_1, \dots, v_n]$ is an orthogonal matrix of corresponding eigenvectors.

Note: $v_i' v_j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq j \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

this is called
"orthonormality"
of vectors

Proof of 8.a.1.

The stated result is exactly the spectral theorem for Hermitian matrices.

(ii) Lemma 8.a.2. $\lambda_i[A] > 0$, for $i=1, \dots, n$.

Proof of 8.a.2. The stated result is just the definition of positive definiteness.

(iii) lemma 8.a.3 $\lambda_i \{A\}$ for $i=1, \dots, n$ are all real.

→ I've defined this in Q5 already.

Proof of 8.a.3 • By definition, $A v_i = \lambda_i \{A\} v_i$, where v_i denotes the i th eigenvector of A for $i=1, \dots, n$.

• Then, $v_i^* A' = \overline{\lambda_i \{A\}} v_i^*$ for $i=1, \dots, n$, where \overline{M} denotes the complex conjugate of some matrix M and $M^* := \overline{M'}$.

• Since $A' = A$, $v_i^* A = \overline{\lambda_i \{A\}} v_i^*$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.

• If we post-multiply both sides by v_i ,

we have $v_i^* A v_i = \overline{\lambda_i} \{A\} v_i^* v_i$, or
 $\lambda_i \{A\} v_i^* v_i = \overline{\lambda_i} \{A\} v_i^* v_i$,
 for $i=1, \dots, n$.

This ensures that $\lambda_i \{A\} = \overline{\lambda_i} \{A\}$
 for $i=1, \dots, n$, which completes the
 proof of Lemma 8.a.3.

(iv) Finally, given lemmas 8.a.1, 8.a.2, and 8.a.3, there clearly
 exists a diagonal matrix,

$$\Lambda^{1/2} := \text{diag}\{\sqrt{\lambda_1 \{A\}}, \sqrt{\lambda_2 \{A\}}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_n \{A\}}\} \quad \text{s.t.}$$

$$A = V \Lambda V' = V \Lambda^{1/2} \Lambda^{1/2} V'$$

Defining $T = V \Lambda^{1/2}$, the result follows, and this
 completes (a sketch of) the proof for Proposition 8.a.

PROPOSITION 8.b. For $n \times 1$ random vector

$$X \sim N(0, \Sigma) \text{ s.t. } \text{rank}\{X\} = n, \text{ and } \lambda_1(\Sigma) < \infty,$$

we have that $Z := X' \Sigma^{-1} X \sim \chi^2_n$.

PROOF . Σ has to be a P.D. Symmetric matrix because... [you tell me]

. So by lemma 8.a.1., there exist matrices P and D s.t.

$$\Sigma = P D P' \text{ where } D = \text{diag}\{\lambda_1(\Sigma), \dots, \lambda_n(\Sigma)\} \text{ and}$$

P is the orthogonal matrix of corresponding eigenvectors of A .

. Clearly, (i) Σ^{-1} exists, by Proposition 5.a. ;

(ii) Σ^{-1} is symmetric, since

$$\Sigma^{-1} = (P D P')^{-1} = P^{-1} D^{-1} P'^{-1} = P D^{-1} P',$$

$$\text{and } (\Sigma^{-1})' = (P D^{-1} P')' = P D^{-1} P' = \Sigma^{-1};$$

(iii) Σ^{-1} is P.D. since $\lambda_i \{\Sigma^{-1}\} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1-i}\{\Sigma\}}$
for $i=1, \dots, n$.

• It then follows from Proposition 8.a. that there exist matrices $\Sigma^{-1/2} := P D^{-1/2}$ s.t. $\Sigma^{-1} = \Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma^{-1/2}$.

• Consider the vector $Y := \Sigma^{-1/2'} X$.

Clearly, $E[Y] = \Sigma^{-1/2'} E[X] = 0$, and

$$\text{Var}[Y] = \Sigma^{-1/2} \text{Var}[X] \Sigma^{-1/2} = I_n.$$

• Combining the above with the distributional result in Q4,

$$Y \sim N(0, I_n).$$

• It also follows that the typical element of Y ,
 $Y_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.

See slide titled
"ELEMENTARY..."

• This means that $y'y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2$

you may need to review
basic sampling
distributions (N , t , χ^2 , F)
to understand this.

• The proof is completed by noting that

$$y'y = x' \bar{\Sigma}^{1/2} \bar{\Sigma}^{1/2} x$$

$$= x' \Sigma^{-1} x$$

which means that $x' \Sigma^{-1} x \sim \chi_n^2$.

(9) PROPOSITION 9

Suppose M is an $n \times n$ symmetric, idempotent matrix with rank J . Further suppose x is an $n \times 1$ random vector of i.i.d. standard Gaussian random variates, i.e., $x \sim N(0, I_n)$. ~~Then~~ the quadratic form $z \equiv x'Mx$ is distributed χ^2 with J degrees of freedom.

PROOF

Lemma 9.1 M has eigenvalues either 0 or 1.

Proof of 9.1

- By definition, $Mv_i = \lambda_i \{M\} v_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.
- Pre-multiplying both sides by M ,
 $MMv_i = M\lambda_i \{M\} v_i$ or $Mv_i = \lambda_i^2 \{M\} v_i$
so that $\lambda_i \{M\} v_i = \lambda_i^2 \{M\} v_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n$.
- The result follows.

- Given Lemma 9.1 and Lemma 8.a.1, there exist matrices Δ , a diagonal matrix of 0's and 1's, i.e. eigenvalues of M ; and C' , an orthogonal matrix of corresponding eigenvectors s.t.

$$M = C\Delta C'.$$

- Since $\text{rank}\{M\} = J$, it must be the case that M has J 1's and $(n-J)$ 0's as its eigenvalues.

- Then, $x'Mx = x'CDC'x = (C'x)'\Delta(C'x)$.

- Defining $y := C'x$, we have that $y \sim N(0, I_n)$

$$\text{and } y_i \stackrel{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N(0, 1) \text{ for } i=1, \dots, n,$$

where y_i is the i th element of y for $i=1, \dots, n$.

- Thus, $x'Mx = y'\Delta y = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \lambda_i\{M\} \sim \chi_J^2$, which completes the proof of Proposition 9.