FC402 (2023/24) RAGUR'S SPEAKING NOTES FOR CLASS

Vasihs 789 (WK10, AT)

QUESTIONS and RAGUIR'S ADDITION (VASSILIS' ORIGINAL QUESTION)

Consider
$$y = X_{F+2}$$
, where the tth element of ε is such that $\varepsilon_{L} = \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{Z}^{2})$ for $t = 1, ..., T$
and finite σ_{Z}^{2} . Assume further that TxK makix X has full rank $R \ll T$,
that the rows $\{X_{L}^{*}\}_{L=1}^{T}$ constitute an IID sequence, and $X \perp \Sigma$.

(QOA) Find the probability limit of (
$$\beta_{0LS} - \beta$$
);
(QOb) Find the limiting distribution of $TT(\beta_{0LS} - \beta)$; and
(QI) Find the probability limit of $(\delta z^2 - \sigma^2)$;
As T-100, where $\beta_{0LS} := (X'X)' X'Y$ and $\delta z' := \frac{2^{1/2}}{T-K}$ for $\hat{z} := y - X\hat{\beta}_{0LS}$

Finally,
$$\frac{2'z}{T} = \left[\frac{z'z}{T} - \left(\frac{x'z}{T}\right)\left(\frac{x'z}{T}\right)\right]$$

Step 2: Assumptions
Assume
$$E(x_{t}x_{t})=0$$
, and [Assume X is zero-mean WLOb]
 Σ_{XX} is a finite PD metrix, and
 $\sigma_{\Sigma}^{2} \in (0, 00)$,
noting that my exogeneity assumption is of the weakent possible form
Step 3: Shutsky's theorem
 $P(m\left(\frac{Z'L}{T}\right) = P(m\left(\frac{Z'L}{T}\right) - \left(\frac{P(m(X'L)}{T+10}\right)\left(\frac{P(m(X'L)}{T}\right)\left(\frac{P(m(X'L)}{T+10}\right)\right)$
by repeated use of Shutzky's theorem.

Shep 4: "Suitable" LLN'S
(i) By Khinchune's WILN
$$(z_{e}^{z} | N)$$
 with finite mean, as assumed in Step2, $\overline{z_{e}}$),
plin $(z_{e}^{z}) = plin + \overline{\Sigma}_{e} z_{e}^{z} = E[z_{e}^{z}] = \overline{z}_{e}^{z}$.
(ii) By Khinchine's WILN $(x_{e}x'_{e} | N)$ with finite 1% mom. as assumed in $(lep a, \Sigma_{XX})$,
plin $(x_{e}^{X}x'_{e} | N)$ with finite 1% mom. as assumed in $(lep a, \Sigma_{XX})$,
plin $(x_{e}^{X}x'_{e} | N) = plin [\pm \overline{\Sigma}_{e=1} x_{e}x'_{e}] = E[x_{e}x'_{e}] = \Sigma_{XX}$.
(iii) By Chebyshev's WILN, $(x_{e}z_{e} | N)$ with finite mean, as acsumed in Step2, 0;
AND finite Variance, $\overline{z}_{e} \sum_{XX}$),
plin $(x_{e}^{X}x'_{e}) = plin [\pm \overline{\Sigma}_{e=1} x_{e}z_{e}] = E[x_{e}z_{e}] = 0$
[In fact, we have that $x'_{e} = M.S$, 0, a much shorger result.]

Step 5: Consistering proof By Step 3 and Step 4 $\mathcal{P}_{T\to\infty}\left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{z}^{\prime}\hat{z}\\ T\end{array}\right] = \mathcal{O}_{Z}$ Step 6: Final answer Noting that $\lim_{T\to\infty} \left[T/(T-K)\right] = 1$, and with a final (unnecessary trivial) application of Slutsky's theorem, $\begin{array}{c} plim \left(S^{2} \right) = plim \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} = \left(p \right) lim \left(T \right) plim \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(T - K \right) = \left(\frac{2}{2} \right)^{2} \\ T + 100 \left(\frac{2}{2$

QUESTION 2

Intrition behind
$$\beta_{NUS}$$
:
Consider for $s=1,..., \delta'$ the model for latent
variable $Y_{5}^{*} = X_{5}^{*}\beta + \Xi_{5}, \Xi_{5}, U_{5}^{*} N[0, \overline{\sigma}_{5}^{*}], \Xi \perp X$.
It is impossible to estimate this model
aince Y_{5}^{*} is unobserved. We observe instead
 $Y_{5} = [Y_{5}^{*}, Y_{5}^{*} > 0$
 $0, T_{5}^{*} \in 0$
but $E = [Y_{5}^{*}, Y_{5}^{*} > 0]$
but $E = [Y_{5}^{*}, Y_{5}^{*} > 0]$

values are mapped to O (or -999 in Vassilis' Solution).



REMARK 1. We note in the previous expression that $7(Y_3 > 0 | X_1) = 7(Y_3 > 0 | X_3)$ $= \frac{2}{(\beta' \sigma^2)} \left(\frac{x_s \beta + \xi_s > 0}{x_s} \right) = \frac{2}{(\beta' \sigma^2)} \left(\frac{\xi_s > -x_s' \beta}{x_s} \right)$ $= \Re \left(\frac{\xi_{s}}{\sigma} - \chi_{s} \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \right) \times \frac{1}{\sigma}$ = $\Phi(x_s\beta/\sigma)$ where $\Phi(a)$, all denotes $R[Z \leq a)$ for $Z \sim N(0,1)$, and using the property that the N(0,1) PDF is an even function.



Properties of FNUS: The system of equations in () is non-linear. No closed-form expression for fines exists. We use a 1st order Taylor approximation as follows: 0 & Žgl(xs; f)(ys-g(xs; f))+ $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{x_s}{\beta} \right] \left[\frac{y_s}{y_s} - \frac{y_s}{\beta} \right] - \frac{y_s}{\beta} = \frac{y_s}{\beta} \left[\frac{y_s}{y_s} - \frac{y_s}{\beta} \right]$ gf(xsif)gf(xsif)(B-B)

Thus, under thrice - continons differentiability of g(xs; ß) and mean independence of X with z we can use Shitsky's theorem together with an UN in order to establish (as in Qo) Cravér's theorem together with a CLT in order to establish asymptotic romality for JS (B-5) as J-100. However, Inus / as J-100. However, there is no guarantee for Cramér-Rao attainment. If we want an asymptotically efficient estimator, We must instead consider MLE (outside the scope of this question).

ANot needed for EC402 but is the only part that I haven't "Ragvir, where did Result 1 come form?" Let $\Theta := (\beta'_{,\sigma^2})$. Then, $E_{\Theta}[Y_{S}|X_{S}, Y_{S}^* > 0] = \int Y_{S} f_{Y_{S}}(Y_{S}|X_{S}, Y_{S}^* > 0; \Theta) dY_{S}$ Notice that $f_{y_s}(y_s|x_s, y_s^* > o_i \theta) = (y_s) \phi(\alpha_s - x_s' \beta)(s)$, a hazard " $\overline{\Phi}(x_s' \beta|s)$:. Ep[Ys | Xs, Y\$>0]: \$\$(x's\$ |0) Jy; (10) \$\$((ys. x's\$)) \$\$ dys Let $u_s := \phi((y_s - x'_s\beta)|\sigma)$, then $-\sigma du_{5} = \frac{1}{2} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{5} - \frac{x_{5}}{2}\beta^{2}) \cdot (\gamma_{5} - \frac{x_{5}}{2}\beta^{2}), \sigma \tau$ $-\sigma du_{5} = \left[\exp[(\gamma_{5} - x_{5}'\beta)[\sigma] + \frac{1}{2} - \exp[(\gamma_{5} - \frac{x_{5}}{2}\beta)] + \frac{1}{2}\beta^{2}\right] dy_{5}$ (1)

Clearly if $y_1: 0 \rightarrow \infty$, then $h_1: \phi[-x_1'\beta_1] \rightarrow 0$ and $-y_1: 0 \rightarrow \phi(-x_1'\beta_1]$, or $-y_1: 0 \rightarrow \phi(x_1'\beta_1)$

Using substitution () and insight (2), $\phi(x_{1}\beta|\sigma)$ ω $\int \sigma du_{5} = \int y_{5} \phi(y_{5}-x_{1}\beta) dy_{5}$ - Xjf (ys-Xsf) dys $\nabla \phi \left[x_{s}^{\prime}\beta \right] = \int_{0}^{\infty} y_{s} \nabla \phi \left(y_{s} - x_{s}^{\prime}\beta \right) dy_{s}$ $-\frac{x_{s}}{y}\left[1-\varphi\left(-\frac{x_{s}}{y}\right)\right]dy_{s}$

 $=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Im_{s}\left[\sigma \left(\Im_{s} - x_{s}'\beta \right) dy_{s} - x_{s}'\beta \left[\overline{\Phi}\left(X_{s}'\beta | \sigma \right) \sigma \right] \right]$ It follows innediately that: $E[Y_{S}|X_{S},Y_{S}^{*}>0] = X_{S}^{*}\beta + \sigma \Phi(X_{S}\beta)$ $\overline{\Phi}(x'_{S}|\sigma)$ which Vassilis calls "Result 1" I REPEAT : YOU DO NOT NEED TO KNOW THIS DEFINATION OF RESULT 1. IT IS HERE FOR CURIONS STUDENTS WHO WERE PLANNING TO ASK ME ABOUT RESULT 1) ONLY.

As we leave NUS can you now see the intrition for why OLS canot work in this case? Let's look at our model again together... $E[Y_{S}|X_{S}, Y_{S} > 0] = X_{S}B + \sigma \Phi(X_{S}B|\sigma)$ The conditional expectation of 4, given 4, >0 (and x;) does not depend only on xSB but also non-linearly on $X_{S}B$ through the $\varphi(\cdot)$ $\overline{\Phi}(\cdot)$ function. One could interpret the problem as over due to endogeneity crising from a failure to control for the NL term.