Statistical and Computational Tradeoffs in Estimation of Sparse Principal Components

Tengyao Wang, Quentin Berthet, Richard Samworth

University of Cambridge

ISNPS, Avignon

15 Jun 2016

▲□▶ ▲@▶ ▲콜▶ ▲콜▶ _ 콜

999



 $X_1, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$ independent centred Gaussian with unknown variance Σ .



 Σ has spectral gap $\theta>0$ and a k-sparse leading eigenvector

$$v \in B_0(k) = \{u : ||u||_2 = 1, ||u||_0 \le k\}.$$

Estimation problem: estimate v using X_1, \ldots, X_n . Loss function: $L(\hat{v}, v) = \sin \Theta(\hat{v}, v)$



Sparse PCA is an active field of research

Theoretical properties of different estimators of \boldsymbol{v}

- SCoTLASS estimator (Jolliffe, Trendafilov and Uddin, 2003)
- Sparse linear regression based estimator (Zou, Hastie and Tibshirani, 2006)
- Semidefinite relaxation estimator (d'Aspremont et al. 2007)
- Diagonal thresholding estimator (Johnstone and Lu, 2009)
- Iterative thresholding estimator (Ma, 2013)
- ▶ ...

Applications in areas where high-dimensional datasets are routinely handled

- Signal processing (Majumdar, 2009)
- Computer vision (Wang, Lu and Yang, 2013; Naikal, Yang and Sastry, 2011)
- Biomedical research (Chun and Sündüz, 2009; Tan, Petersen and Witten, 2014)

▶ ...



Why sparse PCA?

- Applications: enhanced interpretability of the principal components
- Theory: classical PCA is inconsistent in high dimensional settings.





Maximum likelihood estimator

$$\hat{v} = \hat{v}_{\max}^k(\hat{\Sigma}) = \underset{u \in B_0(k)}{\arg\max} u^\top \hat{\Sigma} u.$$

By a curvature lemma from Vu and Lei (2013),

$$L(\hat{v}, v)^2 = \|\hat{v}\hat{v}^{\top} - vv^{\top}\|_2^2 \le \frac{2}{\theta} \operatorname{tr} \left((\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) (\hat{v}\hat{v}^{\top} - vv^{\top}) \right).$$

Upper bound the loss using empirical process theory

$$\mathbb{E}L(\hat{v}, v) \leq \frac{4}{\theta} \mathbb{E} \sup_{u \in B_0(2k)} \left| u^{\mathsf{T}} (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) u \right| \leq C \sqrt{\frac{k \log p}{n \theta^2}}.$$

Key step: controlling the empirical process $u^{\top}(\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma)u$ over $B_0(2k)$.



Restricted Covariance Concentration: $\mathbf{P} \in \mathrm{RCC}_p(n, \ell, A)$ if for all $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbf{P}\bigg\{\sup_{u\in B_0(\ell)} \left| u^{\top} (\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma) u \right| \geq A \max\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ell \log(p/\delta)}{n}}, \frac{\ell \log(p/\delta)}{n}\right) \bigg\} \leq \delta.$$

Satisfied by subgaussian distributions.

 $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_p(n, k, \theta)$: distributions in $\operatorname{RCC}_p(n, 2k, 1)$ and $\operatorname{RCC}_p(n, 2, 1)$ with *k*-sparse leading eigenvector, spectral gap $\geq \theta$.

General upper bound: for $n \ge 2k \log p$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{P}_p(n,k,\theta)}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}L(\hat{v}_{\max}^k,v)\leq C\sqrt{\frac{k\log p}{n\theta^2}}.$$



The estimator \hat{v}_{\max}^k is minimax optimal: for $k \leq \sqrt{p}, \theta$ bounded,

$$\inf_{\hat{v}} \sup_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}_p(n,k,\theta)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}} L(\hat{v},v) \geq c \min \bigg(\sqrt{\frac{k \log p}{n \theta^2}}, 1 \bigg).$$

Results of this type first obtained by Cai, Ma and Wu (2013) and Vu and Lei (2013).

Minimax optimal rate of estimation $\asymp \sqrt{\frac{k \log p}{n \theta^2}}$.

One problem remains: it is NP-hard to calculate \hat{v}_{\max}^k .

Especially problematic since sparse PCA is typically used on large datasets.



Semidefinite relaxation estimator: first studied by d'Aspremont et al. (2007), a polynomial time estimator

Analogous to the ℓ_1 relaxation used in lasso estimator of sparse linear regression

Original problem:

$$\hat{v}_{\max}^k = \arg \max \quad u^\top \hat{\Sigma} u$$

subject to $u^\top u = 1, ||u||_0 \le k.$

Non-convex problem.



Semidefinite relaxation estimator: first studied by d'Aspremont et al. (2007), a polynomial time estimator

Analogous to the ℓ_1 relaxation used in lasso estimator of sparse linear regression

Original problem:

$$\hat{v}_{\max}^k = \arg \max \operatorname{tr}(uu^{\top}\hat{\Sigma})$$

subject to $\operatorname{tr}(uu^{\top}) = 1, ||uu^{\top}||_0 \le k^2.$

Non-convex problem.



Semidefinite relaxation estimator: first studied by d'Aspremont et al. (2007), a polynomial time estimator Analogous to the ℓ_1 relaxation used in lasso estimator of sparse linear regression

Matrix form:

$$\hat{M} = \arg \max \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}M)$$

subject to $\operatorname{rk}(M) = 1, \operatorname{tr}(M) = 1, ||M||_0 \le k^2, M \succeq 0.$

Two sources of non-convexity: rank constraint and ℓ_0 constraint.



Semidefinite relaxation estimator: first studied by d'Aspremont et al. (2007), a polynomial time estimator Analogous to the ℓ_1 relaxation used in lasso estimator of sparse linear regression

Matrix form (relaxed):

$$\begin{split} \hat{M} &= & \arg \max \quad \mathrm{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}M) \\ & & \text{subject to} \quad \mathrm{tr}(M) = 1, \|M\|_1 \leq k, M \succeq 0. \end{split}$$

Convex problem.



Penalised version of the SDP estimator

$$\begin{split} \hat{M} &= & \arg \max \quad \mathbf{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}M) \!-\! \lambda \|M\|_1 \\ & \text{subject to} \quad \mathbf{tr}(M) = 1, M \succeq 0. \end{split}$$

$$\hat{v}^{\text{SDP}} = \text{leading eigenvector of } \hat{M}.$$

Solve the SDP (up to statistical precision) by first-order proximal methods, e.g. Nemirovski (2004), Nesterov (2005).



Solve the SDP (up to statistical precision) by first-order proximal methods, e.g. Nemirovski (2004), Nesterov (2005).

Here is the pseudocode of a possible implementation:

Input: $\hat{\Sigma} \succeq 0, \lambda > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Initialise: set $M_0 \leftarrow I_p/p, U_0 \leftarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ and $N \leftarrow \left[\frac{\lambda^2 p^2 + 1}{\sqrt{2}\epsilon}\right]$. for $t \leftarrow 1$ to N do $U'_t \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{U}} (U_{t-1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} M_{t-1}), M'_t \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{M}_1} (M_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U_{t-1})$. $U_t \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{U}} (U_{t-1} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} M'_t), M_t \leftarrow \Pi_{\mathcal{M}_1} (M_{t-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\Sigma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} U'_t)$. end Set $\hat{M}^\epsilon \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N M'_t$. Output: \hat{M}^ϵ .

The SDP estimator $\hat{v}^{\rm SDP}$ is the leading eigenvector of \hat{M}^ϵ . Overall complexity $O(p^5 \lor np^3)$.



Choosing
$$\lambda = 4\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}}$$
 and $\epsilon = \frac{\log p}{4n}$, if $4\log p \le n \le k^2 p^2 \log p, \theta \le 1$, then

$$\sup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{P}_p(n,k,\theta)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}} L(\hat{v}^{\mathrm{SDP}},v) \leq C \sqrt{\frac{k^2 \log p}{n\theta^2}}.$$

Computationally efficient, but statistically suboptimal

Can any (randomised) polynomial algorithm achieve the minimax rate? or a rate of the order $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{k^{1+\alpha}\log p}{n\,\theta^2}}\right)$ for any $0 < \alpha < 1$.

Planted Clique Problem: given m vertices, select κ of them to form a clique, then independently draw remaining edges with probability 1/2. How to find the planted clique?



Planted Clique Problem: given m vertices, select κ of them to form a clique, then independently draw remaining edges with probability 1/2. How to find the planted clique?





Planted Clique Problem: given m vertices, select κ of them to form a clique, then independently draw remaining edges with probability 1/2. How to find the planted clique?

- $\kappa \ge (2+\delta)\log_2 m$: max clique
- $\kappa \ge c\sqrt{m}$: spectral methods
- $\kappa = O(m^{1/2-\delta})$: no known randomised polynomial time algorithm exists. Jerrum (1992), Feige and Krauthgamer (2003) and Feldman et al. (2013) show that some large subclasses of polynomial time algorithms will fail.

Planted Clique Hypothesis: For any sequence of $\kappa = \kappa_m$ such that $\kappa \leq m^{1/2-\delta}$, there is no randomised polynomial time algorithm that can identify the planted clique with asymptotic probability 1.

We use the hardness of the planted clique problem to derive a computational lower bound for the sparse PCA estimation problem.



 $\mathbb{E}L(\hat{v},v) \leq \sqrt{\frac{k^{1+\alpha}\log p}{n\theta^2}} \text{ will imply asymptotic probability 1 identification of the planted clique for <math>\kappa \asymp m^{1/2-\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$ depending on α .





- $n = p \approx m/\log m, k \approx \kappa/\log m.$
- ► Take a random n × p submatrix A of Adj(G) and change all 0 to -1. Then independently flip signs of each row with probability 1/2 to get matrix X.
- ► X does not have independent rows, but a similar construction by 'sampling with replacement' gives Y that has independent rows.
- A lemma by Diaconis and Freedman (1980) show X and Y are close in total variation distance, hence $\hat{v}(X)$ and $\hat{v}(Y)$ are close.
- ► Columns of Y correspond to vertices of G. The k columns that give rise to the largest coordiantes of v̂(Y) in absolute value correspond to a set of vertices in G with high clique density.
- Reconstruct the entire clique from this vertex set of high clique density.

Theorem. Assume the Planted Clique Hypothesis, fix some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $k = O(p^{1/2-\delta}), n = o(p \log p), \theta \leq k^2/(1000p)$ and $\frac{k^{(1+\alpha)} \log p}{n\theta^2} \to 0$, then any sequence of randomised polynomial time estimators $(\hat{v}^{(n)})$ satisfies

$$\sqrt{\frac{n\theta^2}{k^{1+\alpha}\log p}}\sup_{\mathbf{P}\in\mathcal{P}_p(n,k,\theta)}\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}L(\hat{v}^{(n)},v)\to\infty.$$

Take home message: the $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{k^2 \log p}{n \theta^2}}\right)$ rate achieved by \hat{v}^{SDP} is the best uniform rate that we can hope for.

no estimator is consistent	\hat{v}^k_{\max} is consistent but intractable	\hat{v}^{SDP} is consistent and polynomial time
$n \ll \frac{k \log p}{\theta^2}$	$\frac{k\log p}{\theta^2} \ll n \ll \frac{k^2\log p}{\theta^2}$	$n \gg \frac{k^2 \log p}{\theta^2}$



For a subclass $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_p(n, k, \theta) \subset \mathcal{P}_p(n, k, \theta)$, a variant of \hat{v}^{SDP} can achieve the minimax rate in the high effective sample size regime. \hat{v}^{MSDP} : obtain $\hat{M} = \arg \max_{M \succeq 0, \operatorname{tr}(M)=1} \operatorname{tr}(\hat{\Sigma}M) - \lambda \|M\|_1$, let $S = \{j : \hat{M}_{jj} > \tau\},$ $\hat{v}_{S^c}^{\text{MSDP}} = 0, \qquad \hat{v}_S^{\text{MSDP}} = \text{leading eigenvector of } \hat{\Sigma}_{SS}^{\circ}.$

Performance of \hat{v}^{MSDP} in the high effective sample size regime: assume $\log p \leq n$, $\theta^2 \leq B\sqrt{k}, p \geq \theta\sqrt{n/k}$, set $\lambda = 4\sqrt{\frac{\log p}{n}}, \tau = \left(\frac{\log p}{Bn}\right)^2$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{P}\in\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_p(n,k,\theta)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{P}}L(\hat{v}^{\mathrm{MSDP}},v) \leq C\sqrt{\frac{k\log p}{n\theta^2}}.$$



$$\begin{split} X_1, \dots, X_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N_p(0, I_p + \theta v v^{\top}), v &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, 0, \dots, 0\right)^{\top}. \\ \text{Plot the average loss of } \hat{v}^{\text{SDP}} \text{ against } \nu_{\text{quad}} &= \frac{n\theta^2}{k^2 \log p} \text{ or } \nu_{\text{lin}} = \frac{n\theta^2}{k \log p} \end{split}$$





$$\begin{split} X_1, \dots, X_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} N_p(0, I_p + \theta v v^{\top}), v &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, \dots, \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}, 0, \dots, 0\right)^{\top}. \\ \text{Plot the average loss of } \hat{v}^{\text{SDP}} \text{ against } \nu_{\text{quad}} &= \frac{n\theta^2}{k^2 \log p} \text{ or } \nu_{\text{lin}} = \frac{n\theta^2}{k \log p} \end{split}$$





In this work

- Theoretical formulation of computational lower bounds
- Link between Sparse PCA and Planted Clique problem
- Rate obtained by SDP methods cannot be improved
- More details can be found in Wang, Berthet and Samworth (2016)

Statistical and computational tradeoffs in other statistical problems

- Convex relaxation algorithms (Chandrasekaran and Jordan, 2013)
- Elevated submatrix detection (Ma and Wu, 2015)
- Community detection (Chen and Xu, 2014; Hajek, Wu and Xu, 2014)
- Sparse CCA (Gao, Ma and Zhou 2015)

References

- Cai, T. T., Ma, Z. and Wu, Y. (2013) Sparse PCA: Optimal rates and adaptive estimation. Ann. Statist., 41, 3074-3110.
- Chandrasekaran, V. and Jordan, M. I. (2013) Computational and statistical tradeoffs via convex relaxation. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, **110**, E1181–E1190.
- Chen, Y. and Xu, J. (2014) Statistical-computational tradeoffs in planted problems and submatrix localization with a growing number of clusters and submatrices. Available on arxiv.
- Chun, H. and Sündüz, K. (2009) Expression quantitative trait loci mapping with multivariate sparse partial least squares regression. *Genetics*, 182, 79–90.
- d'Aspremont, A. El Ghaoui, L., Jordan, M. I. and Lanckriet, G. R. G. (2007) A direct formulation for sparse PCA using semidefinite programming. SIAM Rev., 49, 434–448.
- ▶ Diaconis, P. and Freedman D. (1980) Finite exchangeable sequences. Ann. Probab., 8, 745–764.
- Feige, U. and Krauthgamer, R. (2003) The probable value of the Lovàsz-Schrijver relaxations for a maximum independent set. SIAM J. Comput., 32, 345–370.
- Feldman, V., Perkins, W. and Vempala, S. (2015) On the Complexity of Random Satisfiability Problems with Planted Solutions. *Proceedings of the forty-seventh annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, to appear.





- Gao, C., Ma, Z. and Zhou, H. H. (2014) Sparse CCA: Adaptive estimation and computational barriers. Available on arxiv.
- Hajek, B., Wu, Y. and Xu, J. (2014) Computational lower bounds for community detection on random graphs. Available on arxiv.
- Jerrum, M. (1992) Large cliques elude the Metropolis process. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 3, 347–359.
- Johnstone, I. M. and Lu, A. Y. (2009) On consistency and sparsity for principal components analysis in high dimensions. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc., 104, 682–693.
- Jolliffe, I. T., Trendafilov, N. T. and Uddin, M. (2003) A modified principal component technique based on the LASSO, J. Comput. Graph. Statist., 12, 531–547.
- Ma, Z. (2013) Sparse principal component analysis and iterative thresholding. Ann. Statist., 41, 772–801.
- Ma, Z. and Wu, Y. (2015) Computational barriers in minimax submatrix detection. *Ann. Statist.*, to appear.
- Majumdar, A. (2009) Image compression by sparse PCA coding in curvelet domain. Signal, image and video processing, 3, 27–34.

References



- Naikal, N., Yang A. Y. and Sastry S. S. (2011) Informative feature selection for object recognition via sparse PCA. Computer Vision (ICCV), 2011 IEEE International Conference, 818–825.
- Nemirovski, A. (2004) Prox-method with rate of convergence O(1/t) for variational inequalities with Lipschitz continuous monotone operators and smooth convex-concave saddle point problems. SIAM J. Optim. 15, 229–251.
- Nesterov, Yu. (2005) Smooth minimization of nonsmooth functions. *Math. Program., Ser. A*, 103, 127–152.
- Tan, K. M., Petersen, A. and Witten, D. (2014) Classification of RNA-seq Data. In S. Datta and D. Nettleton (Eds.) Statistical Analysis of Next Generation Sequencing Data, 219–246. Springer, New York.
- Vu, V. Q. and Lei, J. (2013) Minimax sparse principal subspace estimation in high dimensions. Ann. Statist., 41, 2905–2947.
- Wang, D., Lu, H.-C. and Yang, M.-H. (2013) Online object tracking with sparse prototypes. IEEE transactions on image processing, 22, 314–325.
- Wang, T., Berthet, Q. and Samworth, R. J. (2015) Statistical and computational trade-offs in estimation of sparse principal components. *Ann. Statist.*, to appear.
- Zou, H., Hastie, T. and Tibshirani, R. (2006) Sparse principal components analysis. J. Comput. Graph. Statist., 15, 265–86.